

AGENDA

DOLORES COLORADO TOWN BOARD OF TRUSTEES AUGUST 8TH 2022, 6:30 P.M.

THE MEETING WILL BE HELD AT TOWN HALL 420 CENTRAL AVENUE.

IF YOU WISH TO ATTEND VIRTUALLY, PLEASE VISIT THE TOWN WEBSITE UNDER GOVERNMENT TOWN BOARD MEETING
FOR THE ZOOM LINK

https://townofdolores.colorado.gov

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 3. ROLL CALL
- 4. ACTION/APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA
- 5. IDENTIFICATION OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.
- **6.CITIZENS TO ADDRESS THE BOARD:** This is an opportunity for Citizens to address the Board at this time or during a Public Hearing. Each Person will have 5 minutes. The Town Board encourages public comment by the following sources: Live at the Town Hall, virtually via ZOOM (see the Town Website for the link), or by submitting your comments, via email, to the Town Clerk at tammy@townofdolores.com any time before the dated Board meeting.
- **7. ACTION/APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT AGENDA:** The Consent Agenda is intended to allow the Board by a single motion approve matters that are considered routine or non-controversial. Here will be no separate discussion of these items unless a Board Member requests an item to be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered separately. Items removed from the Consent Agenda will be Considered under specific Agenda item numbers.
 - 7.1 Minutes from: July 11th, 2022, Board meeting
 - 7.2 Minutes From: July 25th, 2022, Board /Workshop meeting.
 - 7.3 Proceedings for the month of July 2022
 - 7.4 Liquor License Renewal/ Hotel Restaurant: Mi Tequilas/Wendy Monzon

8. REMOVED CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:

9. STAFF REPORTS/PRESENTATIONS:

(For the record The Building Official, and Public Works have submitted reports to the packet).

- **9.1 Presentation:** Jim Spratlin, Montezuma County Emergency Manager to present Community Intervention Plan.
 - 9.2 Sheriff's Report: Sheriff Steve Nowlin9.3 Managers' Report: Manager Ken Charles9.4 Attorney's Report: Attorney Jon Kelly

10. ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD BUSINESS:

- 10.1 Discussion: Property transfer from the Town of Dolores to the Dolores Fire Protection District.
- 10.2 Discussion: Utility Rate Increase
- 10.3 Action/Approval: Area Agency on aging/Senior Meal site
- 10.4 Action/Approval: Appointing an additional member to the Housing Task Force

11. BOARD/COMMISSIONS:

- 11.1 Parks/Playground Advisory Committee
- 11.2 Planning and Zoning Committee:

12.OUTSIDE ORGANIZATIONS:

- 12.1 Chamber of Commerce: Susan Lisak
- 12.2 Montezuma County Commissioner: Jim Candelaria

13. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

14. ACTION/APPROVAL ORDINANCES/RESOLUTIONS:

- **14.1 Discussion/Action/Approval First Reading of Ordinance 559 Series 2022:** Amending Ordinance 532 Series 2017 creating a Park/Playground Advisory Committee for the Town of Dolores. (Adding a fifth member position).
 - 14.2 Action/Approval Resolution R498 Series 2022: Lifting the Town of Dolores Fire Ban.

15. TRUSTEES REPORTS AND ACTIONS:

16. UPCOMING AGENDA ITEMS FOR THE AUGUST 22ND WORKSHOP/MEETING:

- 16.1 Workshop: Discussion/Presentation Budget 2023
- **16.2 Meeting:** Second/Final Reading of Ordinance 559 Series 2022 amending ordinance 532 Series 2017.

17. ADJOURNMENT:

CONSENT AGENDA



AGENDA

DOLORES COLORADO TOWN BOARD OF TRUSTEES JULY 11TH 2022, 6:30 P.M.

THE MEETING WILL BE HELD AT TOWN HALL 420 CENTRAL AVENUE.

IF YOU WISH TO ATTEND VIRTUALLY, PLEASE VISIT THE TOWN WEBSITE UNDER GOVERNMENT TOWN BOARD MEETING
FOR THE ZOOM LINK

https://townofdolores.colorado.gov

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 3. ROLL CALL
- 4. ACTION/APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA
- 5. IDENTIFICATION OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.

6.CITIZENS TO ADDRESS THE BOARD: This is an opportunity for Citizens to address the Board at this time or during a Public Hearing. Each Person will have 5 minutes. The Town Board encourages public comment by the following sources: Live at the Town Hall, virtually via ZOOM (see the Town Website for the link), or by submitting your comments, via email, to the Town Clerk at tammy@townofdolores.com any time before the dated Board meeting.

7. ACTION/APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT AGENDA:

- 7.1 Minutes from the June 13th, 2022, Board meeting.
- 7.2 Minutes from the June 27th, 2022, Board meeting.
- 7.3 Proceedings for the month of June 2022
- **7.4 Liquor license renewal:** Western Refining Retail LLC. /Speedway for a Fermented Malt Beverage License.
- **7.5 Special Event Liquor License**: Dolores Chamber of Commerce/Summerfest to be held July 16th from 11:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

- **7.6 Special Event Liquor License:** Dolores Rotary Club/Boggy Draw Beat Down at Flanders Park on August 6th, 2022, from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
- **7.7 Special Event Liquor License:** Dolores Chamber of Commerce/Escalante Days at the Flanders Park August 13th, 2022, from 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

8. REMOVED CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:

9. ACTION/DISCUSSION SPECIAL LICENSES/PERMITS:

- **9.1 Special Events Liquor License:** iAM Music to be held August 26th and 27th, at 311 Central Avenue Dolores Co. both events dates will be from 4:00 p.m. through 9:30 p.m. For the record the premises was posted and noticed.
- **9.2 Liquor License Renewal:** Dolores River Brewery LLC/Mark Youngquist for a Brew Pub located at 100 S. 4th St. Dolores.

10. ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD BUSINESS:

- 10.1 Action/Approval: Purchase of a 2002 International 7400 Vac-con Vacuum/Jetter Truck.
- 10.2 Action/Approval: Appointment of Housing Task Force Committee (HTFC).
- 10.3 Discussion/Possible Action: In support Initiative #63 for the November Ballot.
- 10.4 Discussion: Reviewing the code concerning liquor licenses and special events permits.
- 10.5 Discussion: Design Concepts from Logan-Simpson on Joe Rowell Park

11. BOARD/COMMISSIONS:

- 11.1 Parks/Playground Advisory Committee
- 11.2 Planning and Zoning Committee:

12.OUTSIDE ORGANIZATIONS:

- 12.1 Chamber of Commerce: Susan Lisak
- 12.2 Montezuma County Commissioner: Jim Candelaria

13. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

14. ACTION/APPROVAL ORDINANCES/RESOLUTIONS:

- **14.1 Action/Approval Resolution R492 Series 2022:** Electing to Opt out of receiving OPIOID settlement funds and providing said funds to the regional pool.
- **14.2 Discussion/Action:** Resolution R493 Series 2022, approving the agreement with Connie Giles Architecture Inc. to design a restroom facility for Flanders Park
- **14.3 Discussion/Action:** Resolution R495 Series 2022 awarding the bid to and approving a contract with Mike Thele, PE. To design a restroom facility for Flanders Park.

15. STAFF REPORTS/PRESENTATIONS:

(For the record The Building Official, and Public Works have submitted reports to the packet).

- 15.1 Sheriff's Report: Sheriff Steve Nowlin
- 15.2 Treasurer: Tricia Gibson
- **15.3 Managers' Report:** Manager Ken Charles **15.4 Attorney's Report:** Attorney Jon Kelly

- **16. TRUSTEE REPORTS AND ACTIONS:**
- 17. UPCOMING AGENDA ITEMS FOR THE JULY 25TH, 2022 MEETING:
- 18. ADJOURNMENT:



MINUTES

DOLORES COLORADO

TOWN BOARD OF TRUSTEES

JULY 11TH 2022, 6:30 P.M.

THE MEETING WAS HELD AT TOWN HALL 420 CENTRAL AVENUE.

IF YOU WISH TO REVIEW THE RECORDING, PLEASE VISIT THE TOWN WEBSITE UNDER GOVERNMENT TOWN BOARD MEETING

https://townofdolores.colorado.gov

- 1. CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Reeves call the meeting to order at 6:34 p.m.
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 3. ROLL CALL: Board Members present, Mayor Leigh Reeves, Trustees Duvali "Val" Truelsen, Kalin Grigg, Sheila Wheeler, Chris Holkestad, and Andy Lewis. Trustee Mark Youngquist was absent.
 - **3.1 Staff Present:** Manager Ken Charles, Treasurer Tricia Gibson, Town Clerk Tammy Neely, Building Official David Doudy, and Attorney Jon Kelly. Appearing virtually was Public Works Director Randy McGuire. Sheriff Nowlin was absent.
- **4. ACTION/APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA:** Trustee Holkestad moved to approve the agenda amending it to add item number 10.6 to discuss Sirens, seconded by Trustee Lewis. Motion passed unanimously.
- 5. IDENTIFICATION OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. Trustee Lewis will not vote in the approval of the Dolores River Brewery item 9.2 because of his employment. Mayor Reeves will be abstaining from the vote for iAM Music for business purposes.
- 6. CITIZENS TO ADDRESS THE BOARD: This is an opportunity for Citizens to address the Board at this time or during a Public Hearing. Each Person will have 5 minutes. The Town Board encourages public comment by the following sources: Live at the Town Hall, virtually via ZOOM (see the Town Website for

the link), or by submitting your comments, via email, to the Town Clerk at tammy@townofdolores.com any time before the dated Board meeting.

- **6.1 Michael Sawyer 207 N 20**th: inquired what the Board is doing to properties in the Town that are unkept and buildings in need of repair. Building Inspector David Doudy explained properties are currently being repaired. Properties owners are currently in the process of rebuilding and require time for completion. The Town is currently addressing the other property issues.
- 7. ACTION/APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT AGENDA: The Consent Agenda is intended to allow the Board by a single motion approve matters that are considered routine or non-controversial. Here will be no separate discussion of these items unless a Board Member requests an item to be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered separately. Items removed from the Consent Agenda will be Considered under specific Agenda item numbers.
 - 7.1 Minutes from the June 13th, 2022, Board meeting.
 - 7.2 Minutes from the June 27th, 2022, Board meeting.
 - 7.3 Proceedings for the month of June 2022
- **7.4 Liquor license renewal:** Western Refining Retail LLC. /Speedway for a Fermented Malt Beverage License.
- **7.5 Special Event Liquor License**: Dolores Chamber of Commerce/Summerfest to be held July 16th from 11:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
- **7.6 Special Event Liquor License:** Dolores Rotary Club/Boggy Draw Beat Down at Flanders Park on August 6th, 2022, from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
- **7.7 Special Event Liquor License:** Dolores Chamber of Commerce/Escalante Days at the Flanders Park August 13th, 2022, from 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Clerk Neely explained to the Board the reason for a consent agenda and why it is used.

Trustee Lewis moved to approve the consent agenda, seconded by Trustee Holkestad. Motion passed unanimously.

- 8. REMOVED CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS: No items were removed.
- 9. ACTION/DISCUSSION SPECIAL LICENSES/PERMITS:

- **9.1 Special Events Liquor License:** iAM Music to be held August 26th and 27th, at 311 Central Avenue Dolores Co. both event dates will be from 4:00 p.m. through 9:30 p.m. For the record, the premises was posted and noticed. Sheriff Steve Nowlin reviewed the application and reported no issues or violations with the applicant. No comment came from the public. *Trustee Grigg moved to approve the Special Event Liquor license for iAM Music, seconded by Trustee Wheeler. Motion passed unanimously with Mayor Reeves abstaining from the vote.*
- **9.2 Liquor License Renewal:** Dolores River Brewery LLC/Mark Youngquist for a Brew Pub located at 100 S. 4th St. Dolores. No issues or violations were reported. *Trustee Truelsen moved to approve the renewal of a Brew Pub license for Dolores River Brewery, seconded by Trustee Grigg. Motion passed unanimously, with Trustee Lewis abstaining from the vote.*

10. ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD BUSINESS:

- 10.1 Action/Approval: Purchase of a 2002 International 7400 Vac-con Vacuum/Jetter Truck. Manager Charles explained to the Board that the current Vac Truck (Truck is to clear sewer lines amongst other uses) is shared with the Town of Mancos. The current Vac-Truck will need repairs in the cost range of \$15,000.00 \$20,000.00. Staff has determined that it would be a better investment of the Towns financial resources to purchase and own the truck outright. The existing truck will be given back to the Town of Mancos for the Towns share of current needed repairs. Manager Charles offered examples of why it would be good for the Town to purchase the truck. There are 2 options available:
 - Move forward with another IGA with Mancos and co-own either a new or used truck.
 - Purchase a used truck for the Town of Dolores only.

The Staff recommends option two. Citing that Mancos uses the truck significantly, more than Dolores. Public Works Director Randy McGuire and Treasurer Gibson both support purchasing the 2002 International Vac-Truck, keeping it for town use only. The purchase price for the 2002 International will cost the Town approximately \$44,570.00. Trustee Truelsen moved to approve purchasing the 2002 International Vac-Truck, providing that the agreement with Mancos is terminated, and the current truck is delivered back to the Town of Mancos, seconded by Trustee Holkestad. Motion passed unanimously.

10.2 Action/Approval: Appointment of Housing Task Force Committee (HTFC). Mayor Reeves opened the discussion of forming and appointing a Housing Task Force Committee, by appointing

herself. She will be looking for other people to join. Trustee Wheeler stated she would like to join for the reason that she would bring questions to the table that might not be thought of. Trustee Holkestad stated that he will join because he lived in cities that could not afford places for doctors, nurses, and teachers. He added it is important to support the Town and keep growing and a place for people to live. Trustee Truelsen inquired about the makeup of the committee. Manager Charles answered there should be two Board Members, two Planning & Zoning Committee Members (Melissa Watters & Dan Heeney was previously appointed), Dolores State Bank representative, School Superintendent, and members from the Community. Manager Charles also stated that he was trying to get Shak Powers who is now with region 9. Manager Charles informed the Board that it was brought his attention by Kirk Swope who is the Chair for the Telluride Foundation, he will be asked to join the Task Force. The Task Force will be time consuming with a possible two meetings a month. There will need for grants, infrastructure, and more. Housing will need to bring in from \$225,000.00 to \$275,000.00. The Task Force will need to find ways to put together a package. The questions to ask is what goals should be prioritized, what is most important owner occupied, rental or both. The Task Force needs to understand the rfpd process and select a consultant that knows the process and guide the Task force through.

- **10.3 Discussion/Possible Action:** In support Initiative #63 for the November Ballot. Mayor Reeves opened the discussion for Initiative #63, explaining that it will help fund better pay for Teachers in the State of Colorado. This would be funded through TABOR. She stated that Colorado is at the very bottom for compensating Teachers. The Board requested a presentation and more information.
- **10.4 Special Events Liquor License:** Manager Charles proposed to the Board that Town Staff be allowed to review and approve Special Event Permits. Other Towns are doing this to save time on meetings. There are not many events, and the staff is familiar with procedures. Trustee Truelsen preferred to leave is as is. The other option would be to set special events on the consent agenda.
- 10.5 Discussion: Design Concepts from Logan-Simpson on Joe Rowell Park. Manager Charles updated the Board on the progress with Logan-Simpson. He presented to new concepts submitted by Logan-Simpson and asked the Boards opinion. Trustee Grigg commented that there needs to be more feedback from the citizens. The website has information on it, but it does not have a comment section which could be more productive. Trustee Wheeler asked if there are other options beside the website. Manager Charles suggested to go to the Chamber meeting Senior citizens lunches. Trustee Lewis suggested signs along the river walk. Trustee Holkestad comments that trash cans in the plan needs to be addressed. Trustee Truelsen requested hard copy maps of the plan.

10.6 Discussion/Action Sirens: Manager Charles discussed the needs of sirens, and why they are important to the Town. An award was presented. Manager Charles requested to move forward with the plan. Trustee Grigg moved to approve the award letter, and to move forward, seconded by Trustee Lewis. The motion passed unanimously,

11. BOARD/COMMISSIONS:

- **11.1 Parks/Playground Advisory Committee:** No report. Trustee Grigg was appointed ex-officio for the Parks/Playground Committee.
- **11.2** Planning and Zoning Committee: Linda Robinson reported the meeting on July 5th, 2022, the Committee discussed, Flanders Park, Housing task force, and working with Building Official David Doudy on building codes.

12.OUTSIDE ORGANIZATIONS:

- **12.1 Chamber of Commerce:** Susan Lisak this Saturday will be Summerfest, with music, car show, and kids' events. She reported that there were 182 entries for the Gravel Grinder. Other events coming up will be the Boggy Draw Beatdown, and Escalante Days. Tuesday the 9th of July will be the next Chamber meeting. Farmers Market, and Drive in movies are continuing.
- 12.2 Montezuma County Commissioner: Jim Candelaria clarified the term attainable housing is now known as workforce housing. He also urged the Board to take another look at the cost of housing, the project will put a family in a house for \$225,000.00 to \$275,000.00. He stated that the price of materials has gone up considerably, that cost only covers 30%-34% of the average income. He suggested that the Board educate themselves on initiative #63, by watching a video on YouTube. He introduced Economic Development Coordinator Jessica Thurman.
- 13. PUBLIC HEARINGS: No hearings were scheduled.

14. ACTION/APPROVAL ORDINANCES/RESOLUTIONS:

14.1 Action/Approval Resolution R492 Series 2022: Electing to opt out of receiving OPIOID settlement funds and providing said funds to the regional pool. Attorney Kelly announced that due to the small amount of funding from the OPIOD agreement other government entities will join funds together to provide a local rehab facility that is locally centered. Resolution R492 Series 2022 allows \$1872.35, (the Towns award from the lawsuit) to be combined with Montezuma County, LaPlata County,

Town of Mancos, Town of Dolores, City of Cortez, and other regions. The Southern Ute Tribe and Ute Mountain Ute Tribe will not be joining. *Trustee Truelsen moved to approve Resolution R492 Series 2022, to opt out of settlement funds, and providing the funds to the regional pool, Trustee Truelsen amended the motion by adding the dollar amount of \$1872.35, seconded by Trustee Grigg.*

Motion was passed unanimously

14.2 Discussion/Action: Resolution R493 Series 2022, approving the agreement with Connie Giles Architecture Inc. to design a restroom facility for Flanders Park. *Trustee Truelsen moved to approve the agreement between Connie Giles Architecture Inc. for design services associated with the Flanders Park Restroom Project, seconded by Trustee Lewis. The motion passed unanimously.*

14.3 Discussion/Action: Resolution R495 Series 2022 awarding the bid to and approving a contract with Mike Thele, PE. To design a restroom facility for Flanders Park. Trustee Trueslsen moved to approve Resolution R495 Series 2022, for a contract with Mike Thele to engineer a restroom facility for Flanders Park, seconded by Trustee Lewis. Motion passed unanimously.

15. STAFF REPORTS/PRESENTATIONS:

(For the record The Building Official, and Public Works have submitted reports to the packet).

- **15.1 Sheriff's Report:** Sheriff Steve Nowlin was absent from the meeting. His report was submitted to the packet for the record.
- **15.2 Treasurer:** Tricia Gibson gave the monthly sales tax report and Treasurers report for the month of June. She offered an explanation that Marijuana Sales tax will be combined with the State sales tax for proper disbursement.

15.3 Managers' Report: Manager Ken Charles announced:

- The Vaccination Bus will be available at Summerfest
- Five Box Cars will be added to the vacant tracks at the Goose Depot. Manager Charles also gave
 a brief history of the Galloping Goose easement and property agreement with the Town.
- **15.4 Attorney's Report:** Attorney Jon Kelly discussed sales tax concerning food trucks. Per ordinance food trucks must have a business license and a sales tax account. He also touched on the water shed. Mayor inquired about the DeStefano agreement. Attorney will follow up at the next meeting.

16. TRUSTEE REPORTS AND ACTIONS: No reports available for this meeting

17. UPCOMING AGENDA ITEMS FOR THE JULY 25 TH ,	, 2022 MEETING:
17.1 Workshop discussion: Utility Rate increase	and report from SGM on the water project.
18. ADJOURNMENT: Mayor Reeves adjourned the n	neeting at 9:14 p.m.
Mayor Leigh Reeves	Town Clerk Tammy Neely



AGENDA

TOWN OF DOLORES COLORADO

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING/WORKSHOP

JULY 25TH, 2022, 5:30 P.M.

THE MEETING WILL BE HELD AT TOWN HALL 420 CENTRAL AVENUE.

IF YOU WISH TO ATTEND VIRTUALLY, PLEASE VISIT THE TOWN WEBSITE UNDER GOVERNMENT TOWN BOARD MEETING FOR THE ZOOM LINK

https://townofdolores.colorado.gov

WORKSHOP: 5:30 P.M. THROUGH 6:30 P.M: Includes dinner

1. Discussion: SGM Engineers waterline replacement project

2. Discussion: Utility Rate Increase

3. Discussion: Town Growth

BOARD MEETING: 6:30 P.M.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 3. ROLL CALL:
- 4. ACTION/APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA
- 5. IDENTIFICATION OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.
- **6. CITIZENS TO ADDRESS THE BOARD: This is an opportunity for Citizens to address the Board at this time or during a Public Hearing. Each Person will have 5 minutes.** The Town Board encourages public comment by the following sources: Live at the Town Hall, virtually via ZOOM (see the Town Website for the link), or by submitting your comments, via email, to the Town Clerk at tammy@townofdolores.com any time before the dated Board meeting.

7. ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

7.1 Discussion/Discussion Special Event Liquor License Permit: Southwest Colorado Cycling Association Inc. (SWCCA)

- **7.2 Discussion/Action:** Violation of fence line (fence was built on town property) at 700 Hillside Avenue Dolores, Colorado.
 - 7.3 Action/Approval Appointments to Committees:
 - a. Jen Stark to the Dolores Attainable Workforce Housing Task Force (DAWHTF)
 - b. Mari Chubbuck to the Dolores Parks/Playground Advisory Committee (DPAC)
- 8. PUBLIC HEARINGS: No hearing scheduled
- 9. DISCUSSION/ACTION ORDINANCES/RESOLUTIONS:
- **9.1 Discussion/Action: Resolution R496 Series 2022;** amending the June 27th, 2022, Resolution creating a community to study affordable housing in the Town of Dolores to be known as the Dolores Attainable Workforce Housing Task Force (AWHTF)

10.OTHER ORGANIZATIONS:

- 1. Chamber of Commerce: Susan Lisak
- 2. Montezuma County Commissioner: Jim Candelaria
- 11. STAFF REPORTS/PRESENTATIONS:
 - 11.1 Managers Report:
 - 11.2 Attorneys Report:
- 12. FUTURE AGENDAS: August
 - 12.1 Budget
 - 12.2 Utility Improvements
 - 12.3 Review outcome of survey
- 13. TRUSTEES REPORTS AND ACTIONS:
- 14. ADJOURN



MINUTES

TOWN OF DOLORES COLORADO

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING/WORKSHOP

JULY 25TH, 2022, 5:30 P.M.

For the recording of the workshop and meeting please visit the Town website

https://townofdolores.colorado.gov

WORKSHOP NOTES: 5:30 P.M. to 6:30 P.M.

1. Discussion: SGM Engineers waterline replacement project. Manager Charles introduced Catherine Carella for SGM and explained that the Town and SGM are entering phase II of the waterline project. Catherine touched on the water flow distribution, as well as fire flow. They found that the water flow was exceptionally low. She also talked about the second water tank which was especially important to the Town, stating that it should be placed on top of the Mesa for better flow. The smaller water lines would need to be replaced. The estimated cost of the project would be about 3.2 million dollars.

BOARD MEETING: 6:30 P.M.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Reeves opened the Board meeting at 6:36 p.m.
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- **3. ROLL CALL:** Board Members present; Mayor Leigh Reeves, Trustees, Duvall "Val" Truelsen, Andy Lewis, Chris Holkestad, Sheila Wheeler, and Mark Youngquist. Trustee Grigg was absent.
- **3.1 Staff Members:** Manager Ken Charles, Clerk Tammy Neely, Treasurer Tricia Gibson, Building Official David Doudy, Attorney Jon Kelly, and appearing virtually Public Works Director Randy McGuire.
- **4. ACTION/APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA:** Trustee Youngquist moved to approve the agenda as is, seconded by Trustee Wheeler. Motion passed unanimously.
- 5. IDENTIFICATION OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. No conflicts were identified.
- 6. CITIZENS TO ADDRESS THE BOARD: This is an opportunity for Citizens to address the Board at this time or during a Public Hearing. Each Person will have 5 minutes. The Town Board encourages public

comment by the following sources: Live at the Town Hall, virtually via ZOOM (see the Town Website for the link), or by submitting your comments, via email, to the Town Clerk at tammy@townofdolores.com any time before the dated Board meeting. The was no comment from the public.

7. ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

- **7.1 Discussion/Action Special Event Liquor License Permit:** Clerk Neely introduced a special event permit application for Southwest Colorado Cycling Association Inc. (SWCCA). The venue was posted for the record, there were no comments made by the public. The event will take place at Kokopelli's Bike & Board, on August 6th, 2022, from 5:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. *Trustee Youngquist moved to approve the Special Event Permit for Southwest Colorado Cycling Association, seconded by Trustee Truelsen. Motion passed unanimously.*
- **7.2 Discussion/Action:** Violation of fence line (fence was built on town property) at 700 Hillside Avenue Dolores, Colorado. Building Official David Doudy described the violation. On the property at 700 Hillside Avenue a fence was constructed and located six inches onto Town property. Property owners Fred and Kathy Manar appealed to the Board explaining that the property was surveyed, the old fence was removed and a new fenced was replaced in the same area. Trustee Truelsen explained when the Town was surveyed years ago a property error was found. In defense of the issue the Town agreed to allow the Manor's to keep the fence at its current location with the understanding that it still remains as the town's property. Attorney Kelly will prepare an agreement between the Town and the Manars to let the fence remain with stipulations. For future references, the Town will need to determine fence line issues on case-by-case basis.
- 8. PUBLIC HEARINGS: No public hearing scheduled

9. DISCUSSION/ACTION ORDINANCES RESOLUTIONS:

9.1 Discussion/Action: Resolution R496 Series 2022, amending the June 27th, 2022, resolution creating a community to study affordable housing in the Town of Dolores to be known as the Dolores Attainable Workforce Housing Task Force (AWHTF). *Trustee Truelsen move to approve resolution R496 Series 2022, seconded by Trustee Holkestad. Motion passed unanimously*.

9.2 Action/Approval Appointments to Committees:

- a. Appointment to the AWHTF: The Town published a notice on the website, newspaper, and Board for volunteers to serve on the AWHTF committee. Jen Stark and Lainey Behan have submitted letters of interest (for the record the letters were included in the packet). Trustee Youngquist moved to appoint Jen Stark and Lainey Behan to the AWHTF committee, seconded by Trustee Wheeler. Motion passed unanimously.
- **b.** Appointment to the Dolores Parks/Playground Advisory Committee (DPAC): Mayor Reeves moved to approve Jacob Carloni to the DPAC committee, seconded by Trustee Youngquist. Motion passed unanimously.

Manager Charles discussed the possibility of adding a fifth member to the DPAC committee, for reasons of meeting a quorum. The Board agreed and instructed Attorney Kelly draw up a resolution for the next Board meeting in August.

9.3 Action/Approval Resolution R497 Series 2022: Accepting Terms and conditions of the Award of and Authorizing the Expenditure of Funds for the Colorado Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management Hazard Mitigation Grant Program for the Town of Dolores emergency sirens. Manager Charles introduced the resolution stating that the plan was to add a siren at each end of the Town. There is a possibility that there will be one siren with a 3-way alarm. The siren is loud and can be heard for quite a distance. *Trustee Truelsen moved to approve resolution R497 Series 2022, seconded by Trustee Lewis. Motion passed unanimously.*

10. STAFF REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS:

10.1 Managers Report: Manager Ken Charles and Treasurer Tricia Gibson presented to the Board for consideration the possibility of raising water and sewer rates to meet the needs of the water/sewer systems. Treasurer Gibson explained that the rising expense for the maintenance and repairs to the water system are exceedingly high. To accommodate for the expense, she requested that the Board to take inconsideration a more long-term approach (3-5 years) program. She presented a Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position, Proprietary Fund Type, and Enterprise funds, for the year ending on December 31, 2021. The Statement detailed all expenses to the day-to-day operation of the Wastewater Treatment Plant, and Water Treatment Plant. In which it is showing a loss. The reserves are weak, and a rate increase will prevent the reserves from being used. The majority of the Board preferred to use the tier system to raise water and sewer rates. The concerns are the current inflation for citizens. The increase would go in to affect in January of 2023.

Manager Charles reported work is starting on 16th street issues (parking). Other streets will be 15th and Hillside.

10.2 Attorneys Report: Attorney Jon Kelly discussed growth and annexation. Annexation take place by Ordinance only. Under the Colorado Constitution the Town cannot annex property without a due process of some type. Exception would be municipal property as in the Harris property. The is a possibility of a process of a Flagpole Annexation, the exception again. A study would be required of the affected area. It is a complicated and time-consuming effort.

11. OTHER ORGANIZATIONS:

- **11.1 Chamber of Commerce:** Director Susan Lisak reported that there will be no more drive-in movies for the remainder of the season, due to wind damage on the equipment.
 - 11.2 Montezuma County Commissioner: Jim Candelaria was absent for the meeting.

12. FUTURA AGENDAS: August

- **12.1** Utility improvements
- 12.2 Review results of the survey
- 12.3 Budget Workshop

13. TRUSTEES REPORTS AND ACTIONS:

Mayor Leigh Reeves	Town Clerk Tammy Neely

DR 8400 (03/10/22)
COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
Liquor Enforcement Division

Submit to Local Licensing Authority

Fees Due	
Annual Renewal Application Fee	\$ 50.00
Renewal Fee	584.00
Storage Permit \$100 X	. \$
Sidewalk Service Area \$75.00	\$
Additional Optional Premise Hotel & Restaurant \$100 X	\$
Related Facility - Campus Liquor Complex \$160.00 per facility	\$
Amount Due/Paid	\$550.00

Make check payable to: Colorado Department of Revenue. The State may convert your check to a one-time electronic banking transaction. Your bank account may be debited as early as the same day received by the State. If converted, your check will not be returned. If your check is rejected due to insufficient or uncollected funds, the Department may collect the payment amount directly from your banking account electronically.

Retail Liquor or Fermented Malt Beverage License Renewal Application

	unty licensing authority by due date
NENDY MONZON ATTEQUILES LAC	(DBA)
Liquor License # License Type	
03-13356 Tavern (city)	
Sales Tax License Number Expiration Date	Due Date
44555367-0000 12-22	1
Business Address	Phone Number
104 Sand Street	970-676-0040
Mailing Address Email	70,000
20 Box 491 Mite	4 Uilg 220 Phone Number
Operating Manager Date of Birth Home Address	Phone Number
Wendy N. 8-10-97	970-570-5974
Do you have legal possession of the premises at the street address above? Yes	ĬX No
	on date of lease 2 - 24
 Are you renewing a storage permit, additional optional premises, sidewalk service area table in upper right hand comer and include all fees due.	, or related facility? If yes, please see the
3a. Are you renewing a takeout and/or delivery permit? (Note: must hold a qualifying licens delivery license privileges)	e type and be authorized for takeout and/or
delivery license privileges) ☐ Yes ☐ No 从/从	
3b. If so, which are you renewing? Delivery Takeout Both Takeout and D	elivery
4a. Since the date of filing of the last application, has the applicant, including its manager, p members (LLC), managing members (LLC), or any other person with a 10% or greater	
found in final order of a tax agency to be delinquent in the payment of any state or loca	
business? Yes XNo	and of position of the following to a
4b. Since the date of filing of the last application, has the applicant, including its manager, p members (LLC), managing members (LLC), or any other person with a 10% or greater	
pay any fees or surcharges imposed pursuant to section 44-3-503, C.R.S.?	
5. Since the date of filing of the last application, has there been any change in financial in	
organizational structure (addition or deletion of officers, directors, managing members of and attach a listing of all liquor businesses in which these new lenders, owners (other the	
directors, managing members, or general partners are materially interested. Yes	
6. Since the date of filing of the last application, has the applicant or any of its agents, own	ners, managers, partners or lenders (other
than licensed financial institutions) been convicted of a crime? If yes, attach a detailed	explanation, LIYes LUINo

DR 8400 (03/10/22) COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE Liquor Enforcement Division

Since the date of filing of the last application, has the applicant or any of its agents, owners, managers, partners or lenders (other than licensed financial institutions) been denied an alcohol beverage license, had an alcohol beverage license suspended or revoked, or had interest in any entity that had an alcohol beverage license denied, suspended or revoked? If yes, attach a detailed explanation. Yes No
 Does the applicant or any of its agents, owners, managers, partners or lenders (other than licensed financial institutions) have a direct or indirect interest in any other Colorado liquor license, including loans to or from any licensee or interest in a loan to any licensee? If yes, attach a detailed explanation. Yes No

licensee? If yes, attach a detailed explanation. Yes		interest in a toan to any
Affirmation & Consent I declare under penalty of perjury in the second degree that this ap best of my knowledge.	plication and all attachments are true, cor	rect and complete to the
Type or Print Name of Applicant/Authorized Agent of Business Wend Mon Zon		Title OWNLY
Signature Wendy Monzon		Date 8-4-22
Report & Approval of City or County Licensing Authority The foregoing application has been examined and the premises, bus we do hereby report that such license, if granted, will comply with the Therefore this application is approved.	siness conducted and character of the app	
Local Licensing Authority For		Date
Signature	Title	Attest

Tax Check Authorization, Waiver, and Request to Release Information

I, WENDY MONZOW am signing to Information (hereinafter "Waiver") on behalf of Mt Te to permit the Colorado Department of Revenue and any of documentation that may otherwise be confidential, as proving myself, including on behalf of a business entity, I certify the Applicant/Licensee.	ther state or local ded below. If I ar	al taxing authority to signing this Waiv	o release information and er for someone other than
The Executive Director of the Colorado Department of R Colorado Liquor Enforcement Division as his or her agents, obtained pursuant to this Waiver may be used in connect and ongoing licensure by the state and local licensing auth ("Liquor Code"), and the Colorado Liquor Rules, 1 CCR obligations, and set forth the investigative, disciplinary and I take for violations of the Liquor Code and Liquor Rules, included	, clerks, and em tion with the Ap orities. The Colo 203-2 ("Liquor I icensure actions	ployees. The inform oplicant/Licensee's orado Liquor Code, Rules"), require co s the state and loca	nation and documentation liquor license application section 44-3-101. et seq. mpliance with certain tax I licensing authorities may
The Waiver is made pursuant to section 39-21-113(4), C.I concerning the confidentiality of tax information, or any doctaxes. This Waiver shall be valid until the expiration or revolutional authorities take final action to approve or deny any applicant/Licensee agrees to execute a new waiver for each of any license, if requested.	cument, report on ecation of a licer cation(s) for the	r return filed in con use, or until both the e renewal of the lic	nection with state or local e state and local licensing sense, whichever is later.
By signing below, Applicant/Licensee requests that the Co taxing authority or agency in the possession of tax document the Colorado Liquor Enforcement Division, and is duly authorized representative under section 39-21-113(4), C.R.S their duly authorized employees, to investigate compliance authorizes the state and local licensing authorities, their duly use the information and documentation obtained using this application or license.	nts or information norized employers., solely to allow with the Liquor ly authorized er	on, release informates, to act as the A the state and local Code and Liquor Inployees, and their administrative or jud	ion and documentation to pplicant's/Licensee's duly licensing authorities, and Rules. Applicant/Licensee legal representatives, to dicial action regarding the
Name (Individual/Business) Mi Tegvila LLC		Social Security Number	r/Tax Identification Number 47 10000
Address			
104 S and Street		State	Zip
Dolores		(0	8/323
Home Phone Number	Business/Work Ph	· Indian	
970 -570 -5974 Printed name of person signing on behalf of the Applicant/Licensee	1+0-	-676-00	40
Wendy Manzon			
Applicant/Licensee's Signature (Signature authorizing the disclosure of con-	fidential tax informat	tion)	Date signed
Wendy Monzon			8-9-22
Privacy Ac Providing your Social Security Number is voluntary and no result of refusal to disclose it, § 7 of Privacy Act, 5 USCS § 5		privilege provided	by law will be denied as a

PROCEEDINGS

TOWN OF DOLORES

8/2/2022 10:36:08AM

Number 26736

Reporting All Cash Accounts Check Register From: 7/1/2022 To: 7/31/2022

Amount Manual Chk \$122.06 \$9.69 \$720.40 \$66.67 \$108.00 \$6.99 \$16.90 \$827.50 \$32.94 \$289.00 \$315.00 \$159.46 \$100.00 \$100.00 \$84.98 \$32.94 \$9,113.65 \$457.64 \$40.00 \$207.38 \$1,039.80 \$3,804.32 \$1,341.27 \$6,568.15 \$3,669.70 \$1,037.72 \$9,245.60 \$5,536.70 \$564.00 QUARTERLY WORKERS COMPENSATION COVERAGE WATERLINE PHASE II SOLAR BILL GROUP BILL Comments 287297557507x06282022 0397020-4889-1 Invoice Number DOL-6/27/2022 LFAR1103426 747001417-22 CFS-3031266 JUNE242022 JUNE302022 JUNE302022 INV 0049956 JULY7A2022 2022-06-018 JULY12022 JULY12022 JULY72022 JUNE 2022 222060453 JUNE 2022 NV259189 INV174730 ID-484707 CATERPILLAR FINANCIAL SERVICES COR 32578627 W22426 005871 586987 BROWNS HILL ENGINEERING & CONTROL 23468 7840 3330 DRUG & ALCOHOL TESTING ASSOCIATES 3192 FASTTRACK COMMUNICATIONS, INC. **EMPIRE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION EMPIRE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION** BALLENTINE COMMUNICATIONS UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER SUPERIOR AUTO SUPPLY CO MAGENET CONSULTING LLC WASTE MANAGEMENT OF NM MAGENET CONSULTING LLC NTERMOUNTAIN FARMERS DEAN'S SEWER AND DRAIN **LEPEW PORTA JOHNS, INC** WATER SOLUTIONS LLC JON LEWIS KELLY, P.C. FLYERS ENERGY, LLC DPC INDUSTRIES, INC PARTNERS IN PARTS BANKCARD CENTER PIONEER PRINTING CHRIS HOLKESTAD PADILLA LAW, P.C. CEBT PAYMENTS AT&T MOBILITY KALIN GRIGG Vendor Name ANDY LEWIS ALSCO Void 07/05/2022 07/05/2022 37/05/2022 37/05/2022 07/05/2022 07/05/2022 07/05/2022 07/06/2022 07/09/2022 07/09/2022 07/09/2022 07/09/2022 07/09/2022 07/09/2022 07/09/2022 07/18/2022 07/18/2022 07/18/2022 07/18/2022 07/18/2022 07/18/2022 07/18/2022 07/18/2022 07/18/2022 07/18/2022 37/05/2022 07/05/2022 07/09/2022 27/09/2022 07/18/2022 Date Sed

26745

26744

26746

26748 26749 26750 26751 26752 26753

26747

26755 26756

26754

26758 26759 26760

26757

26761 26762 26763 26764

26743

26740 26741 26742 \$300.00

APRIL - JUNE 2022

^{*} Indicates Out Of Sequence Check Number

Check Register Reporting All Cash Accounts From: 7/1/2022 To: 7/31/2022

> Number

,		:				
Sed	q Date	Noid		Invoice Number	Comments	Amount Manual Chk
	07/18/2022		MCSTONE AGGREGATES, LLC	3177		\$8,969.14
	07/18/2022		MONTEZUMA COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE	JUNE82022		\$12,795.95
	07/18/2022		LEIGH REEVES	TRAVEL VOUCHER CML		\$890.14
	07/18/2022		JEFF SAND	APRIL - MAY 2022		\$200.00
	07/18/2022		SAN JUAN BASIN PUBLIC HEALTH DEPAR 2022-0648	2022-0648		\$106.00
	07/18/2022		DUVALL TRUELSEN	APRIL - JUNE 2022		\$300.00
	07/18/2022		SHEILA WHEELER	APRIL - JUNE 2022		\$300.00
	07/18/2022		MARK YOUNGQUIST	MAY - JUNE 2022		\$200.00
*	4 07/24/2022		BIG BEND SAW SERVICE	026330, 026302, 026294		\$508.96
	07/24/2022		CENTURYLINK	JULY82022		\$148.79
	07/24/2022		DOLORES STATE BANK	JULY262022		\$2,034.14
	07/24/2022		DOLORES GENERAL STORE	JULY12022		\$113.49
_	07/24/2022		ROTARY CLUB OF DOLORES	JULY242022	BOGGY DRAW BEAT DOWN SPONSORSHIP	\$300.00
_	07/24/2022		FLYERS ENERGY, LLC	CFS-3053281		\$1,111.89
	07/24/2022		GMSS	INV50582		\$195.00
	07/24/2022		GREEN ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES	GAL2207-036		\$154.00
	07/24/2022		НАСН	13133224 & 13133321		\$232.47
_	07/24/2022		LOGAN SIMPSON DESIGN	29866	SERVICES MAY 28 TO JUNE 24, 2022	\$7,831.94
	07/24/2022		MOUNTAINLAND SUPPLY COMPANY	S104796147.001		\$176.97
	07/24/2022		MONTEZUMA COUNTY TREASURER	ACCT R015227	TAXES HARRIS PROPERTY, 200 N 2ND ST	\$1,129.44
	07/24/2022		NETFORCE PC, INC.	20779		\$1,778.76
	07/28/2022		ABC FIRE & SAFETY	19124		\$457.51
_	07/28/2022		CO. DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH	WU231133371		\$92.00
_	07/28/2022		CO. DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH	WU231123714		\$1,501.00
	07/28/2022		CO. DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH	FGD20220669		\$465.00
	07/28/2022		DANER BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	15417		\$749.05
	07/28/2022		David Doudy	FIRE EXTINGUISHER HOOKS		\$14.80
_	07/28/2022		IMAGENET CONSULTING LLC	INV267766		\$66.07
	07/28/2022		Kenneth Charles	RENTJULY122022		\$800.00
	07/28/2022		PRINCIPAL MUTUAL FUNDS	JUNE252022 RESUBMITTAL	EMPLOYER -RESUBMITTAL FOR GIBSON MAY/JUNE	\$786.60

 ^{*} Indicates Out Of Sequence Check Number

¥
36:08
5.
2022
8/2/

Number

26797 26798 26799 26800

26801

TOWN OF DOLORES

Check Register Reporting All Cash Accounts From: 7/1/2022 To: 7/31/2022

Seq Date	Date	Void	Vendor Name	Invoice Number	Comments	Amount Manual Chk
	07/28/2022		ROSS J. CROSS	TRAVEL VOUCHER CA	VAC TRUCK - CA TRIP	\$215.20
	07/28/2022		TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY	187398		\$3,508.05
	07/28/2022		WAGNER EQUIPMENT CO.	P00C2489276		\$741.62
	07/28/2022		SHEILA WHEELER	WORKSHOP MEAL REIMBURSEMENT		\$87.00
	07/30/2022		DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	AFFORDABLE CARE ACT HRA		\$14.00
					EFT Check Count: 0	Amount: \$0.00

\$94,893.44

65

Regular Check Count: **EFT** Check Count:

Amount: Amount: \$0.00

Amount:

0

Voided Check Count:

Signature

These invoices are approved for payment.

TREASURER'S REPORT TOWN OF DOLORES AUGUST 2, 2022

Petty Cash	\$300.00
Hi-Fi Savings Account	\$1,094,874.05
Checking Account	\$115,233.93
Conservation Trust Fund	\$20,332.90
ColoTrust	\$862,749.67
Bonds	\$556,805.40
Business Account (AFLAC)	\$2,002.57
Regular Savings Account	\$5,209.62
New Playground Account/Do	\$3,225.33
TOTAL	\$2,660,733.47

Town board August 8, 2022, 2022

Building Official/Building Inspector report

Current projects:

- 1. 102 Railroad A final inspection is scheduled.
- 2. Del Rio Work continues on the floor of the building.
- 3. 110 N 16th Street Temporary fence erected to block traffic.
- 4. 201 S 2nd Street Waiting for final construction to issue the final inspection and CO
- 5. Kokopeli Bike Installed a new water line and gas line.
- 6. 202 N 21st Final inspection issued, and a CO issued for a R-3 Single Family
- 7. 18390 Highway 145 Renew a fire and life safety inspection were conducted, and a final issued for a new water heater and door removal.

New permits: Six permits issued for a total of \$4,429.50 (3,491.90 was waived for Dolores School District)

- 1. #1054 Deck permit
- 2. #1055 New manufactured home install
- 3. #1056 Change of occupancy demo permit
- 4. #1057 New mini-split systems
- 5. #1058 Total remodel
- 6. #1059 New roof
- 7. #1060 Temporary Zircon permit
- 8. #1061 Demo permit and change of use
- 9. #1062 Change of occupancy and water heater install
- 10. #1063 Change of occupancy to A-2 Restaurant
- 11. #1064 Deck replacement

Consultations - Phone and in person

58 for July

Construction Inspections

14 construction inspections of permits issued

Future projects on the horizon:

- 1. Hostel with 8-10 rooms
- 2. Auto parts store

Business Inspections

1. Two

STR Inspections

No inspections in June

Internet Technology

Set up the two new board members computers

SPECIAL PROJECTS

1. No reports

STR's

No additional report on STR's this month

Compliance issues

- 1. Weed letters sent to two properties
- 2. Lights on 21st Street x2
- 3. Light issue on 20th street
- 4. Disabled vehicles

MAINTENANCE DAILY REPORT JULY 2022

- 1-4 Plants. Anthony, RJ
- Plants. Picked up trash. Mowed Flanders Park. Worked on the sewer service line at the JRP restrooms. Completed the turbidity report.
- Plants. Pulled the quarterly wastewater plant samples, the bacti and chlorine water samples. Finished work on the service line for the JRP restrooms. Cleaned the park restrooms Mowed Riverside and Triangle Parks.
- Plants. Picked up trash and disinfected the bathrooms. Completed the repair to the JRP bathrooms. Set up Flanders Park for the bike race. Completed the DMRs for the wastewater plant.
- 8-10 Plants. Wyatt. Got called out for quarter jam at the water dock Friday and Saturday.
- Plants. Picked up trash and cleaned the restroom. Trimmed weeds around fire hydrants. Sprayed weeds in the orchard. Cleaned the chlorine weed at the well. Built a meter pit for a new tap at 310 Riverside. Attended the BOT meeting.
- Plants. Mowed the bar ditches along highway and walking trail. Mowed at JRP. Continued the meter pit build.
- Plants. Pulled the chlorine samples. Mowed at JRP. Mowed the water plant and wastewater plant. Serviced the Ford pickup and the Dodge pickup.
- Plants. Picked up trash and disinfected the bathrooms. Mowed at JRP. Trimmed weeds at JRP. Set up for Summer Fest. Serviced the turbidity meters at the water plant. Fixed potholes on 20th and 21st streets.
- 15-17 Plants. RJ helped with Summer Fest.
- Plants. Picked up trash and cleaned the restrooms. Marked utility locates. Filled the chlorine feed at the well. Worked on the mowers. Marked the new traffic barrels. Ran the brush hog on Porter Way. Worked on 2023 budget.
- 19 Plants. Mowed JRP. Marked locate requests. Worked on sprinklers. Lubed the motor graders.
- 20 Plants. Pulled the chlorin samples. Mowed at JRP. Conducted preventive maintenance on the dump truck. Checked a water line at the Out Post Motel. Moved the remote post the 108 N 16th A as requested by owner.
- Plants. Picked up trash and disinfected the bathrooms. Hung out shut off notices. Cleaned the mowers. Trimmed weeds at JRP. Trimmed trees in the park. Read meters.

Put out mole bait. Marked a locate request for 45 Railroad. Set up road closed signs on 16th street. Bladed west Central Ave.

- 22-24 Plants. Randy. Got called out for water dock on Sunday.
- Plants. Picked up trash and cleaned the restrooms. Attended a weed meeting. Started gravel improvements on 16th street. Used 9000 gallons of water. Worked on 2023 budget.
- Plants. Mowed at JRP. Continued the gravel improvements on 16th street. Used 2000 gallons of water. Worked on the 2023 budget.
- Plants. Removed moles at JRP. Picked up the fencing for the property at 600 Hillside and 606.5 Hillside. Pulled the chlorine samples. Repaired the toilet at the water plant. Finished the 2023 budget list.
- Plants. Picked up trash and disinfected the bathrooms. Continued removing moles at JRP. Used 8000 gallons of water. Serviced the chlorine feed at the well. Calibrated the pH meter at the water tank. Opened the green waste dump.
- 29-31 Plants. Anthony

Usage Totals

Page 67 of 68

TOWN OF DOLORES

Billing Period Report

For 1 7/1/2022 - 7/31/2022

Include Write Off Accounts: True

				tion Date			_
Billing Perio	od Totals						
Bala	nce Forward	33,057.76	566				
Pavr	nents & Credits						
•	lalance Transfer	-125.89	2				
	ayment Cash	-3,498.57	37				
	•	-34,917.30	386				
	ayment Check	-					
٧	VATER ADJUSTMENT	-45.51	4				
P	ayments & Credits	-38,587.27	429				
SEW	/ER						
S	EWER	17,250.10	489				
S	EWER Balance Transfer	62.32	2				
	SEWER	17,312.42	491				
TAW		.,,,,,,,					
		299.54	53				
	ATE CHARGE						
	VATER	24,549.64	555				
V	VATER ADJUSTMENT	44.35	1				
٧	VATER Balance Transfer	63.57	2				
V	VATER	24,957.10	611				
Endi	ing Balance	\$36,740.01	2,097				
	•						
Rate Code	Totals						0.
	te Code	Amount			US	sage	Co
	VER				627	100	
	S1 COMM IN TOWN	\$2,534.24			2,802	,100	_
en.							
30	1 RESIDENT IN TOWN	\$10,469.76					3
	11 RESIDENT IN TOWN 12 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN	\$674.31			97	,800	3
SO		\$674.31 \$249.28			97 293	,800 ,000	3
S0 S0	2 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34			97 293 1,007	,008, ,000 ,000,	
S0 S0 S1	2 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 3 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K	\$674.31 \$249.28			97 293 1,007	,800 ,000	
\$0 \$0 \$1 \$9	2 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 13 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K IK COMMSEWER1KMETER	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34			97 293 1,007 383	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500	
\$0 \$0 \$1 \$9 WA	2 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 3 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K IK COMMSEWER1KMETER 50 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34			97 293 1,007 383	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500	
\$0 \$0 \$1 \$9 WA	2 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 13 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 14 COMMSEWER1KMETER 15 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17			97 293 1,007 383	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900	
\$0 \$0 \$1 \$9 WA C0 CV	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 133 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 14 COMMSEWER1KMETER 150 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 151 COMM.TAP IN TOWN	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52			97 293 1,007 383 4 501	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900	
\$0 \$1 \$9 WA CC CV	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 13 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 14 COMMSEWER1KMETER 15 COMM.TAP IN TOWN 17 COMM IN TOWN	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54			97 293 1,007 383 4 501	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900	
\$0 \$1 \$9 WA CC CV CV	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 13 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 14 COMMSEWER1KMETER 15 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 15 COMM.TAP IN TOWN 16 COMM IN TOWN 17 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 18 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 18 CM1K IN TOWN	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54 \$44.35			97 293 1,007 383 4 501 632 185	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900 0 ,000	
S0 S1 S9 WA CC CV CV MI	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 13 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 14 COMMSEWER1KMETER 15 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 15 COMM.TAP IN TOWN 16 COMM IN TOWN 17 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 18 COMM IK OUT TOWN 18 CM1K IN TOWN 19 CM1K IN TOWN 19 CM1K IN TOWN 10 GAL MULTI FAMILY INTOWN	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54 \$44.35 \$1,767.67 \$841.71			97 293 1,007 383 4 501 632 185	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900 0	
S0 S1 S9 WA CC CV CV MI	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 13 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 14 COMMSEWER1KMETER 15 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 15 COMM.TAP IN TOWN 17 COMM IN TOWN 17 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 17 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 18 CM1K IN TOWN 19 CM1K IN TOWN 19 CM1K IN TOWN 10 GAL MULTI FAMILY INTOWN 11 KMULTI FAMILY INTOWN	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54 \$44.35 \$1,767.67			97 293 1,007 383 4 501 632 185 643	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900 0 ,000	2
SO SO SO SO SO WA CO CV CV MI MI MI	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 13 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 14 COMMSEWER1KMETER 15 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 15 COMM.TAP IN TOWN 16 COMM IN TOWN 17 COMM IN TOWN 18 COMM IK OUT TOWN 18 CM1K IN TOWN 19 CM1K IN TOWN 10 CM1K IN TOWN 11 TAMILY INTOWN 12 IK MULTI FAMILY INTOWN 13 IK OUT OF TOWN MULTI FAMILY	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54 \$44.35 \$1,767.67 \$841.71 \$1,458.25			97 293 1,007 383 4 501 632 185 643 46	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900 0 ,000 ,700	
SO SO SO SO WA CO CV CV MI MI MI	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 13 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 14 COMMSEWER1KMETER 15 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 15 COMM.TAP IN TOWN 17 COMM IN TOWN 17 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 17 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 18 CM1K IN TOWN 19 CM1K IN TOWN 19 CM1K IN TOWN 10 GAL MULTI FAMILY INTOWN 11 KMULTI FAMILY INTOWN	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54 \$44.35 \$1,767.67 \$841.71 \$1,458.25 \$222.24			97 293 1,007 383 4 501 632 185 643 46	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900 0 ,000 ,700 ,000 ,000	
\$0 \$1 \$9 WA CC CV CV MI MI MI	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 33 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K K COMMSEWER1KMETER 30 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 35 COMM.TAP IN TOWN W1 COMM IN TOWN W2 COMM 1K OUT TOWN W3 CM1K IN TOWN F1 100 GAL MULTI FAMILY INTOWN F2 1K MULTI FAMILY INTOWN F3 1K OUT OF TOWN MULTI FAMILY F4 100 GAL MULTI FAMILY OUT OF TOV	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54 \$44.35 \$1,767.67 \$841.71 \$1,458.25 \$222.24 \$487.39			97 293 1,007 383 4 501 632 185 643 46	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900 0 ,000 ,700 ,000 ,000	
\$0 \$1 \$9 WA CC CV CV MI MI MI RC RC	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 33 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K K COMMSEWER1KMETER 30 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 35 COMM.TAP IN TOWN M1 COMM IN TOWN M2 COMM 1K OUT TOWN M3 CM1K IN TOWN F1 100 GAL MULTI FAMILY INTOWN F2 1K MULTI FAMILY INTOWN F3 1K OUT OF TOWN MULTI FAMILY F4 100 GAL MULTI FAMILY OUT OF TOW D1 RESIDENT IN TOWN D2 RES. TOWN TAP	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54 \$44.35 \$1,767.67 \$841.71 \$1,458.25 \$222.24 \$487.39 \$13,159.18			97 293 1,007 383 4 501 632 185 643 46 31 2,905	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900 0 ,000 ,700 ,000 ,100	
\$0 \$1 \$9 WA CC CV CV MI MI MI RC RC	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 23 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 24 K COMMSEWER1KMETER 25 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 25 COMM.TAP IN TOWN 26 COMM IN TOWN 27 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 28 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 29 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 20 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 21 LOUIS AND	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54 \$44.35 \$1,767.67 \$841.71 \$1,458.25 \$222.24 \$487.39 \$13,159.18 \$246.72			97 293 1,007 383 4 501 632 185 643 46 31 2,905	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900 0 ,000 ,700 ,000 ,100 ,600 0	
\$0 \$1 \$9 WA CO CV CV MI MI MI RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 23 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 24 K COMMSEWER1KMETER 25 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 25 COMM.TAP IN TOWN 26 COMM IN TOWN 27 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 28 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 29 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 20 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 21 LOUIS AND	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54 \$44.35 \$1,767.67 \$841.71 \$1,458.25 \$222.24 \$487.39 \$13,159.18 \$246.72 \$2,022.91 \$225.22			97 293 1,007 383 4 501 632 185 643 46 31 2,905	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900 0 ,000 ,700 ,000 ,100 ,600 0	
\$0 \$1 \$9 WA CO CV CV MI MI MI RO RO RO RI	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 23 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 24 K COMMSEWER1KMETER 25 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 25 COMM.TAP IN TOWN 26 COMM IN TOWN 27 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 28 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 29 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 20 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 21 TOWN 22 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 25 TOWN 26 TOWN 26 TOWN 27 TOWN 28 TOWN 29 TOWN 20 TOWN 20 TOWN 20 TOWN 21 TOWN 22 RES. TOWN 21 TOWN 22 TOWN 23 TOWN 24 TOWN 26 TOWN 26 TOWN 27 TOWN 27 TOWN 28 TOWN 29 TOWN 20 TOWN 20 TOWN 20 TOWN 20 TOWN 21 TOWN 21 TOWN 22 TOWN 23 TOWN 24 TOWN 26 TOWN 27 TOWN 28 TOWN 29 TOWN 29 TOWN 20 TOWN 20 TOWN 20 TOWN 20 TOWN 20 TOWN 21 TOWN 21 TOWN 21 TOWN 21 TOWN 22 TOWN 21 TOWN 21 TOWN 22 TOWN 23 TOWN 24 TOWN 25 TOWN 26 TOWN 27 TOWN 27 TOWN 27 TOWN 27 TOWN 28 TOWN 29 TOWN 29 TOWN 20 TOWN 21 TOWN 2	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54 \$44.35 \$1,767.67 \$841.71 \$1,458.25 \$222.24 \$487.39 \$13,159.18 \$246.72 \$2,022.91 \$225.22 \$0.00			97 293 1,007 383 4 501 632 185 643 46 31 2,905	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900 0 ,000 ,700 ,000 ,100 ,600 0	3
SO SO SO WA CO CV CV MI MI MI RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO	22 RESIDENT OUT OF TOWN 23 RESIDENT IN TOWN 1K 24 K COMMSEWER1KMETER 25 SENIOR LI DISCOUNT TER 25 COMM.TAP IN TOWN 26 COMM IN TOWN 27 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 28 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 29 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 20 COMM 1K OUT TOWN 21 LOUIS AND	\$674.31 \$249.28 \$2,364.34 \$958.17 \$92.52 \$2,607.54 \$44.35 \$1,767.67 \$841.71 \$1,458.25 \$222.24 \$487.39 \$13,159.18 \$246.72 \$2,022.91 \$225.22			97 293 1,007 383 4 501 632 185 643 46 31 2,905 259 69 63	,800 ,000 ,000 ,500 ,400 ,900 0 ,000 ,700 ,000 ,100 ,600 0 ,600 ,500	

TOWN OF DOLORES

Billing Period Report

For 1 7/1/2022 - 7/31/2022

Include Write Off Accounts: True

Account Active Hold	Location Hold End	Transaction Type	Amount*	Transaction Date	EID	Last Reading	New Reading	Usage
	SEWER							
			12,500					
	Commercial		1,680,200					
	MultiFamily		300					
	Other		140,000					
	PublicGovt		100					
	Residential		3,378,200					
			5,211,300					
	WATER							
			12,500					
	Commercial		1,771,600					
	MultiFamily		300					
	Other		140,000					
	PublicGovt		576,700					
	Residential		3,737,700					
			6,238,800					
	Total Usage		11,450,100					

Negative Usage

No customers were billed for negative usage in this period.

Other Receipts

Description	Amount
BUILDING PERMIT	\$710.00
BUSINESS LICENSE	\$25.00
DOG LICENSE	\$20.00
LIQUOR LICENSE	\$600.00
MARIJUANA LICENSE	\$3,330.00
PARKS AND REC REVENUE	\$150.00
PROP TAX-OWNERSHIP	\$1,513.23
PROPERTY TAX	\$12,356.32
R&B TAX	\$1,703.13
WATER DOCK	\$3,123.00
	\$23,530.68
Payment Type	Amount
Cash	\$-3,313.00
Check	\$-20,217.68
	\$-23,530.68

^{*} Indicates non-finalized charge



COMMUNITY INTERVENTION PROGRAM		Jilly 1	2022	2022 2022		2023		
							BUDGET	
ACCOUNT#	ACCOUNT TITLE		BUDGET		3 MONTHS Est	417	PURPOSED	
001.1710.1220	OPERATING EXPENSES	\$	78,862.00	\$	62,776.00	\$	15,000.00	
001.1710.1226	FUEL	\$	5,200.00	\$	144.00	\$	6,000.00	
001.1710.1310	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	\$	324,223.00	\$	48,749.00	\$	354,840.80	
001.1710.1380	REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE	\$		\$		\$	4,000.00	
001.1710.1387	VEHICLE EXPENSES	\$		\$	•	\$	8,000.00	
		\$	408,285.00	\$	111,669.00	\$	387,840.80	
Amount compare	ed to last year					\$	(20,444.20)	
			Line Item Added	Inch	udes Vehicle \$33,3	394 a	nd Equipment	

CIP Income 2022 and 2023									
Source		2022		2022-2023	\$	2,023.0			
Montezuma County 56%	\$	228,641.00							
City of Cortez 33.1%		135,000.00							
Town of Mancos 7%	\$	28,580.00							
Town of Dolores 3.9%	\$	16,065.00							
Total	\$	408,286.00							
Montezuma County 56%	1				\$	166,104.9			
City of Cortez 33.1%					\$	98,179.8			
Town of Mancos 7%					\$	20,763.1			
Town of Dolores 3.9%					\$	11,568.0			
Total					\$	296,616.0			
					Roll ove	er from 2022			
Behavioral Health Safety Net Grant			\$	386,014.00					
July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023									
~		100 000 00	A	005 044 00	4	005 545 0			
Total	\$	408,286.00	\$	386,014.00	\$	296,616.0			



Community Intervention Program Budget Proposal 2023

Submitted by Jim Spratlen 08/4/22

After reviewing the current Community Intervention Program's budget for 2022, I was able to project costs for 2023 budget proposal. The following is an explanation of the line items submitted for 2023 Community Intervention Program budget.

In the 2022 approved budget there was funding for startup equipment to get the program set for items for the next 3-5 years. That equipment consisted of, but not limited to, vehicle and interior design and maintenance, radios and programing, cell phones, IPad and applications, uniforms, etc. Based on these addition startup fees, this 2023 proposal will be reduced by \$20,444 in this budget proposal.

Salary, and Fringe Benefits Line Items:

Based on the wages and benefits that we had for 2022, and adding a 5% cost of living increase, I would request this line item to be raised;

From 2ea EMT positions salary based on \$20.00 per hour was \$83,200. 5% increase will be a total of \$87,568. Benefits would also be raised from \$30,784 to \$32,400, bringing the total for EMTs to \$119,968.

From 2ea Behavioral Specialists positions salary based on \$27.50 per hour was at \$114,400. 5% increase will be a total of \$117,938. Benefits would

also be raised from \$32,032 to \$33,023, bringing the total for Behavioral Specialists to \$150,961.

Total is \$270,929

Administration costs at 21% FTE for EMTs and Behavioral Specialists and 5% for the County M&A for the grant management the total would be \$83,911.

I would request the grand total for this line item be set at \$354,840.

<u>Operating Expenses Line Item</u>: Compared to the startup cost in this line item in 2022 for vehicle and equipment, this will be reduced significantly for 2023 budget. This will be for various pieces of medical equipment, office supplies, uniforms, office equipment, and dispatch fees, etc. I would request this line item be reduced to \$15,000.

<u>The Fuel Line Item:</u> As fuel costs went higher than expected for 2022, this is an estimate on what we predict the cost of fuel will be for 2023. Based on responses and follow-ups, trainings, meetings and traveling, I have added an additional \$800 to the existing budget of \$5,200. I would request the Fuel Line item to be raised to \$6000.

Repair and Maintenance Line Item: The equipment that is used in the CIP vital to the operation. Repair and maintenance for these items will continue and the costs will continue to rise. I have added an additional line item into this budget to be able to track costs more accurately I would request that the Repair and Maintenance line item be set at \$4000.

<u>Vehicle Expenses Line Item:</u> Due to having an older vehicle with a lot of miles, Vehicle maintenance in 2022 was high. Based on ongoing rise in cost to service the vehicle, I would request the Vehicle Expenses Line item be added to this budget to allow for better tracking of finances and the amount be set at \$8,000.

Summary: Overall decrease in the 2023 budget is \$20,444.

I am also asking for approval to roll over the left over funds in the CIP 2022 budget into 2023. The predicted amount would be estimated at \$296,216.

We received an Expansion of Behavioral Health Safety Net Grant Program (EBHSNGP) July 1 2022 to June 30 2024 for\$ 386,104.

(Note: We are anticipating a grant from the Labor and Health and Human Services house bill submitted by Sen Hickenlooper to begin in funding us estimated in May 2023.)

Based on the rollover and grant we received, we will be able to fund the CIP for the year 2023 and will not be requesting any assistance from local governments.

Respectfully,
Jim Spratlen,
CIP Program Director
Emergency Manager

STATE OF COLORADO



PROCUREMENT DIVISION 1575 Sherman St., 6th Floor Denver, Colorado 80203 www.colorado.gov 0F-C000

Jared Poli Governo

Michelle Barnes Executive Director

July 28, 2022

RE: RFA #: RFP IHJA 2022000339 Expansion of Behavioral Health Safety Net Grant Program

Hello,

This letter is to inform you that Colorado Department of Human Services (CDHS) – Office of Behavioral Health (OBH), has completed the evaluation of applications received in response to the above solicitation. CDHS intends to award the following Applicants the work identified in the solicitation:

- 1. Savio House
- 2. Mental Health Center of Boulder County Inc. (dba Mental Health Partners)
- 3. Mental Health Colorado, SAFER and Hornbuckle Foundation
- 4. San Luis Valley Behavioral Health Group (SLVBHG)
- 5. Griffith Centers for Children CHINS UP
- 6. Summit County Government
- 7. Tennyson Center for Children
- 8. Boulder County Community Services Department
- 9. The Delores Project
- 10. Street's Hope
- 11. Aurora Comprehensive Community Mental Health Center
- 12. Mile High Council on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse
- 13. Envision You
- 14. All American Families dba Families Plus
- 15. Colorado Coalition for the Homeless (CCH)
- 16. Montezuma County Department of Social Services

Provided no protest regarding this solicitation and award is received prior to 5:00pm (MT) Mountain on August 04, 2022, it is the intent of the CDHS to enter into a contractual agreement with the identified Applicants in compliance with the terms and conditions stated in the solicitation, published addenda, and the response proposal. Final awards will be contingent upon successful contract discussions.

Thank you again for your proposal and interest in this important project. If you would like to leave feedback about any part of the solicitation process, please provide the feedback at the following link:

 $\underline{https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLScIgv9lgrT3x5AGzcvT1xkvpucrRhhN5QJ23vLcbyfvc2smaw/viewform.}$

Sincerely,

Raven Lopez

Copez
Date: 2022.07.28 10:05:17
-06'00'

Raven Lopez Purchasing Agent



TOWN OF DOLORES JULY 2022

Detective Division

Dolores Monthly July 2022

	MONTHLY	YEAR TO DATE
NEW CASES ASSIGNED	0	3
CASES CLEARED / INACTIVE / CLOSED	0	3
CASES PENDING		0
VALUE OF STOLEN / DAMAGED PROPERTY	\$0.00	\$0.00
VALUE OF RECOVERED PROPERTY	\$0.00	\$0.00
HOURS WORKED OTHER - HOURS WORKED	0	12

MONTEZUMA COUNTY DETECTIVE DIVISION HAD THE FOLLOWING:

CASE # OFFENSE-VIOLATION STATUS

Summons Written For the Town of Dolores JULY

2022

Dolores Summons

Total Records: 42

MONTEZUMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

730 EAST DRISCOLL STREET CORTEZ, CO 81321 STEVE NOWLIN - SHERIFF 970-565-8452 970-564-3731



CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Coun
C32513			1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/3/2022		AYBAR, HAKAN	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Coun
C31962			1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/27/202	2	NOWLIN, STEVE	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Coun
C32281	CRIMINAL VIOL - ASSAULT	IN THE THIRD DEGREE	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/15/202	2	SCHMALZ, PETER	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C31473	CRIMINAL VIOL - ASSAULT	IN THE THIRD DEGREE, CRIMINAL VIOL - DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	1
Date Rep		Issuing Officer	
7/5/2022		GALLEGOS, MATTHEW	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32225	CRIMINAL VIOL - ATTEMPT	TO ESCAPE	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/2/2022		PARKER, TOMAS	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32627	CRIMINAL VIOL - CRIME OF	VIOLATION OF A PROTECTION ORDER (M1)	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/19/2022	2	HINTON, WRANGLER	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32228	CRIMINAL VIOL - CRIME OF	VIOLATION OF A PROTECTION ORDER (M1)	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	i
7/26/2022		PARKER, TOMAS	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32480	CRIMINAL VIOL - CRIME OF	VIOLATION OF A PROTECTION ORDER (M2)	1
Date Repo		Issuing Officer	
7/19/2022		FROST, THOMAS	

CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Coun
C32224	CRIMINAL VIOL - DISORDER	RLY CONDUCT	1
Date Rep		Issuing Officer	+
7/1/2022		PARKER, TOMAS	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Coun
C32479	CRIMINAL VIOL - DOG NOT	LINDER CONTROL	1
Date Rep		Issuing Officer	+
7/14/2022	2	FROST, THOMAS	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32478	CRIMINAL VIOL - DOMESTIC CHILD ABUSE (M3), CRIMIN	VIOLENCE, CRIMINAL VIOL - HARASSMENT , CRIMINAL VIOL - AL VIOL - RESISTING ARREST	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/13/2022	2	FROST, THOMAS	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C31474	CRIMINAL VIOL - DOMESTIC PERSON IN FEAR)	VIOLENCE, CRIMINAL VIOL - MENACING (PLACED ONTHER	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/19/2022	2	GALLEGOS, MATTHEW	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32586	CRIMINAL VIOL - DROVE VE	HICLE DUI - ALCOHOL/DRUGS/OR BOTH	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/23/2022		WEST, MARC	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C31546	CRIMINAL VIOL - HARASSME	NT - INSULT, TAUNT, CHALLENGE	1
Date Repo	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/20/2022		MANN, HEATHER	
CITATION	CHARGES		Count
32625	CRIMINAL VIOL - HARASSME	NT - STRIKE, SHOVE, KICK (M1)	1
Date Repo	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/8/2022		HINTON, WRANGLER	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
230423	CRIMINAL VIOL - HARASSME	NT - STRIKE, SHOVE, KICK (M1)	1
Date Repo	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/30/2022		AYBAR, HAKAN	
ITATION UMBER	CHARGES		Count
32301	CRIMINAL VIOL - POSSESSIO	ON OF WEAPON WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE (ETOH/DRUG)	1
Date Repo		Issuing Officer	
7/30/2022		HILL, BRYAN	

CITATION	CHARGES		Coun
NUMBER C32300	CRIMINAL VIOL - RESISTING AF	DECT	-
Date Rep		Issuing Officer	1
7/8/2022		HILL, BRYAN	
CITATION	CHARGEG	11334,0,0,0	
NUMBER	CHARGES		Coun
C31545	CRIMINAL VIOL - VIOLATION OF	F BAIL BOND CONDITIONS (M3), CRIMINAL VIOL - 3RD	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/6/2022		HILL, TAYLER	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C31963	NON-CRIM ORDINANCE VIOL -	BEAR-PROOF RECEPTACLE	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/27/2022	2	NOWLIN, STEVE	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C31547	TRAFFIC VIOL-ORDINANCE - CA	RELESS DRIVING	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/21/2022	2	GOTHARD, PATRICK	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32610		EDIENCE TO OFFICIAL TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES	1
Date Rep		Issuing Officer	
7/14/2022		GILBERTO, JACOB	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32702		EDIENCE TO OFFICIAL TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES	1
Date Repo		Issuing Officer	
7/16/2022		GREEN, KAYLEE	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32612		EDIENCE TO OFFICIAL TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES	1
Date Repo		Issuing Officer	
7/17/2022		GILBERTO, JACOB	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32613		EDIENCE TO OFFICIAL TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES	1
Date Repo		Issuing Officer	
7/17/2022		GILBERTO, JACOB	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32614		EDIENCE TO OFFICIAL TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES	1
Date Repo		Issuing Officer	
7/22/2022		GILBERTO, JACOB	

CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32316	TRAFFIC VIOL-ORDINANCE - SPEEDING-		1.
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/3/2022		LANYON, JACOB	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32585	TRAFFIC VIOL-ORDINANCE - SPEEDING-		1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/14/202	2	WEST, MARC	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32317	TRAFFIC VIOL-ORDINANCE - SPEEDING-		1
Date Rep	orted .	Issuing Officer	
7/17/202	2	LANYON, JACOB	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32626	TRAFFIC VIOL-ORDINANCE - SPEEDING LI	MITS	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/18/2022		HINTON, WRANGLER	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32703	TRAFFIC VIOL-ORDINANCE - SPEEDING LI	MITS	1
Date Rep	prted	Issuing Officer	
7/23/2022		GREEN, KAYLEE	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C32548	TRAFFIC VIOL-ORDINANCE - SPEEDING LI	MITS	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/26/2022		AYBAR, HAKAN	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C31471	TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - DROVE (MOTOR/ DENIED, TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - DROVE >30 DAYS, TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - FAILE CONTROL DEVICES	VEHICLE W/O VALID CO LICENSE-RESIDENT	1
Date Repo	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/3/2022		GALLEGOS, MATTHEW	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Count
C31472	TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - DROVE (MOTOR/ DENIED, TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - DROVE DROVE VEHICLE DUI - ALCOHOL/DRUGS/C	VEHICLE W/O VALID LICENSE, CRIMINAL VIOL -	1
Date Repo	rted	Issuing Officer	
7/3/2022		GALLEGOS, MATTHEW	
CITATION	CHARGES		Count
32266	TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - DROVE (MOTOR/ REVOKED	OFF-HIGHWAY) VEHICLE WHEN LICENSE	1

Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/6/2022	M	SCHMALZ, PETER	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Сош
C32476	TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - DI UNDER RESTRAINT	ROVE (MOTOR/OFF-HIGHWAY) VEHICLE WHEN LICENSE	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/9/2022		FROST, THOMAS	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Cour
C32404	TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - DE UNDER RESTRAINT, TRAFFIC	ROVE (MOTOR/OFF-HIGHWAY) VEHICLE WHEN LICENSE C VIOL-STATUTE - FAILED TO DISPLAY VALID REGISTRATION	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/11/2022	2	KENNEDY, ALEXANDER	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Coun
C32547		ROVE VEHICLE UNDER RESTRAINT ALCOHOL/DRUG OFFENSE, ROVE VEHICLE W/O VALID LICENSE, TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - PRIMA FACIE LIMIT	1
Date Rep	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/1/2022		AYBAR, HAKAN	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Coun
C32282	TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - FA DROVE VEHICLE DUI - ALCO	ILED TO DRIVE IN A SINGLE LANE/WEAVING, CRIMINAL VIOL - DHOL/DRUGS/OR BOTH	1
Date Repo	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/31/2022		SCHMALZ, PETER	
CITATION NUMBER	CHARGES		Coun
C32279	TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - FA , CRIMINAL VIOL - DROVE V	ILED TO OBSERVE/DISREGARDED TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES EHICLE W/BLOOD ALCOHOL CONTENT 0.08 PER SE	1
Date Repo	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/17/2022		SCHMALZ, PETER	
CITATION , NUMBER	CHARGES		Coun
32475	TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - SP	EEDING > 10-19 MPH OVER PRIMA FACIE LIMIT	1
Date Repo	orted	Issuing Officer	
7/8/2022		FROST, THOMAS	
CITATION UMBER	CHARGES		Coun
32477	TRAFFIC VIOL-STATUTE - SP	EEDING > 10-19 MPH OVER PRIMA FACIE LIMIT	1
Date Repo	orted	Issuing Officer	
E			

JULY 2022

Municipal Code/Traffic Citations and Reports

Hours worked for Detectives and patrol

Detective Hours:

Patrol Hours: 37.5

Call Type	Number Events A	Avg Dispatch Av	Avg Response A	Avg Travel Avg Til	Avg Time On Scene Av	Avg Time To	Total Time
911 OPEN LINE	Н	4.85	0.52	2.35	6.27	13.98	13.98
ABANDON VEHICLE	5	1.44	8.45	2.66	8.24	20.8	103.98
ANIMAL AT LARGE	2	0.01	0	0	0	0.01	0.02
ANIMAL CARCASS	2	3.25	26.75	0	0	30	09
ANIMAL FOUND	2	2.8	0.37	9.68	1.33	14.17	28.33
ANIMAL GENERAL	2	1.92	0.4	0	0	2.32	4.63
ANIMAL WELFARE CHECK	2	10.54	32,42	0	0	42,96	85.92
ASSIST OTHER AGENCY	~~	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATTEMPT TO SERVE CIVIL	9	0.05	0.01	0	0	0.05	0.32
ATTEMPT TO SERVER OTHER	1	0	0.03	0	0	0.03	0.03
BAR CHECK	9	0.02	0.11	0	0	0.18	1.07
BARKING DOG	₩.	1.62	4.23	17.68	6.97	30.5	30.5
BREAK IN	, 1	2.2	0.05	0	0	2.22	2.22
BUSINESS ALARM	स्प	0.98	0.33	0	0	1.32	1.32
CIVIL STANDBY	₩	49.05	14.15	6.48	5.58	75.27	75.27
CODE ENFORCEMENT	2	0.17	0.18	0	0	0.35	0.7
COMMUNITY POLICING	₩.	0	0	0	0	0	0
COMPLAINT	m	11.01	0.01	0	0	11.02	33.05
CONSENSUAL CONTACT	1	0.15	1.02	0.1	18.75	20.02	20.02
COURTESY RIDE	2	0.61	1.52	0.01	1.88	4.01	8.02
CUSTODY DISPUTE		6	12.77	8.1	12.07	41.93	41.93
DISTURBANCE	2	2.81	0.62	0.1	2.28	5.81	11.62
DOG RUNNING AT LARGE		0.4	0.3	0	0	0.7	0.7
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	1	2.67	0.8	6.08	71.07	80.62	80.62
EXTRA PATROL	18	1.13	0.09	0	0.4	1.63	29.25
FIRE ALARM	2	16.01	0.01	1.08	7.1	24.2	48.4
FIREWORKS	2	1.27	0.22	0	0	1.48	2.97
FOLLOWUP	4	0.11	0	0	0	0.11	0.45
F007	₩	0	0	0	0	0	0
FOUND PROPERTY	2	14.86	0	0	0	14.86	29.72
HARASSMENT	2	3.95	2.01	21.57	10.26	37.78	75.57
INFORMATION ONLY	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
INTOXICATED PEDESTRIAN	T .	1.6	5.27	0	0	6.87	6.87
LOST OR STOLEN PROPERTY	m	7.27	0.01	0	0	7.28	21.85

MENTAL SUBJECT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSING PERSON	1	74.03	0.02	0.02	14.15	88.22	88.22
NEIGHBOR DISPUTE	2	0.36	0.01	0	0	0.37	0.73
NOISE COMPLAINT	4	4.91	4.42	0	0	9.33	37.33
PARKING COMPLAINT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
PHONE CALL	Ŋ	4.27	0	0	0	4.28	21.38
RECKLESS	ы	2.3	8.28	2.83	2.05	15.47	15.47
REDDI REPORT	₩	5.02	1.05	0	0	6.07	6.07
SHOTS FIRED	2	1.93	0.23	0	0	2.16	4.32
STOLEN VEHICLE	₩	4.23	4.82	19.58	0.03	28.67	28.67
SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY	1	41.72	0.02	1.18	5.48	48.4	48.4
SUSPICIOUS PERSON	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSPICIOUS VEHICLE	6	1.47	0.27	0	0	1.73	15.6
TRAFFIC ACCIDENT	1	1.37	0.72	5.12	63.82	71.02	71.02
TRAFFIC ACCIDENT HIT AND RUN	 1	4.53	0.12	0	0	4.65	4.65
TRAFFIC CONTROL	Ŧ	0.43	46.03	0	0	46.47	46.47
TRAFFIC PROBLEM	₽	3.07	0.02	5.23	6.77	15.08	15.08
TRAFFIC STOP	22	0.07	0.4	0	0.15	0.62	13.62
TRAUMA	1	5.98	0.02	0.02	27.23	33.25	33.25
TRESPASS	ന	4.53	0.2	0.42	2.13	7.28	21.85
UNCONSCIOUS OR UNRESPONSIVE	7	1.37	0.03	9.0	6.67	8.67	8.67
UNWANTED PERSON	2	2.03	0.44	5.03	3.89	11,39	22.78
VIN INSPECTION	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
WELFARE CHECK	ις	4.25	14.73	0.87	22.02	41.87	209.35
WILDLIFE		2.98	0.5	0	0	3.48	3.48
TOTAL CALLS FOR SERVICE	155						

Call No D	Date	Address	City, State	Call Taker
7866	7/1/2022 13:29 SUSPICIOUS VEHICLE	203 S 4TH ST	DOLORES, CO	APARKER
22027933	//1/2022 18:25 BARKING DOG	203 N 18TH ST	DOLORES, CO	APARKER
/66/7077	//2/2022 5:25 EXTRA PATROL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
22028009	7/2/2022 8:27 ABANDON VEHICLE	501 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	APARKER
22028027	7/2/2022 12:15 INFORMATION ONLY	1800 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	APARKER
22028122	7/3/2022 5:04 NOISE COMPLAINT	18396 HWY 145	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22028133	7/3/2022 9:44 FIRE ALARM	1301 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	TMENDOZA
22028147	7/3/2022 12:01 COMPLAINT	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22028248	7/4/2022 0:31 WELFARE CHECK	206 RIVERSIDE AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22028272	7/4/2022 8:19 EXTRA PATROL	2 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES	DPADILLA
22028278	7/4/2022 9:02 ABANDON VEHICLE	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
22028368	7/4/2022 18:58 SUSPICIOUS VEHICLE	903 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22028385	7/4/2022 20:34 FIREWORKS	18380 HWY 145	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22028437	7/4/2022 23:36 WELFARE CHECK	1301 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22028523	7/5/2022 11:27 ANIMAL WELFARE CHECK	1121 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	APARKER
22028540	7/5/2022 13:24 FIRE ALARM	200 N 17TH ST	DOLORES, CO	TMENDOZA
22028577	7/5/2022 18:25 CIVIL STANDBY	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
22028592	7/5/2022 21:01 PHONE CALL	413 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22028637	7/6/2022 7:57 COMMUNITY POLICING	1100 HILLSIDE AVE	DOLORES, CO	APARKER
22028640	7/6/2022 8:28 ABANDON VEHICLE	108 N 16TH ST	DOLORES, CO	TMENDOZA
22028678	7/6/2022 12:05 TRESPASS	501 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22028710	7/6/2022 15:07 TRESPASS	201 S 7TH ST	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22028717	7/6/2022 16:13 ATTEMPT TO SERVE CIVIL	308 N 15TH ST	DOLORES, CO	SBOGOTT
22028784	7/7/2022 4:20 EXTRA PATROL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22028825	7/7/2022 11:48 ANIMAL FOUND	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22028876	7/7/2022 16:43 BAR CHECK	18396 HWY 145	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22028878	7/7/2022 16:49 BAR CHECK	104 S 2ND ST	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22028936	7/8/2022 0:38 ANIMAL AT LARGE	2006 HILLSIDE AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22028938	7/8/2022 1:57 DISTURBANCE	1010 MERRITT WAY	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22028939	7/8/2022 2:25 EXTRA PATROL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22028946	7/8/2022 5:20 EXTRA PATROL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22028979	7/8/2022 11:01 ANIMAL GENERAL	2006 HILLSIDE AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029018	7/8/2022 15:58 SHOTS FIRED	104 N 20TH ST	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE

22029040	7/8/2022 18:46 ANIMAL WELFARE CHECK 7/8/2022 19:45 EXTRA PATROL	18396 HWY 145 200 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON ALUDINGTON
22029074	7/8/2022 22:13 NOISE COMPLAINT	18390 HWY 145	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22029121	7/9/2022 6:54 TRAFFIC CONTROL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	APARKER
2202929	7/9/2022 21:59 BAR CHECK	34 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029313	AS	94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029327	7/10/2022 18:59 SUSPICIOUS VEHICLE	1301 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029330	7/10/2022 20:00 PHONE CALL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029339	7/10/2022 21:21 SUSPICIOUS VEHICLE	94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029345	7/10/2022 22:31 FIREWORKS	94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029358	7/11/2022 0:26 TRAFFIC STOP	89 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029397	7/11/2022 9:38 COURTESY RIDE	103 N 18TH ST	DOLORES, CO	TMENDOZA
22029490	7/11/2022 18:20 NEIGHBOR DISPUTE	18380 HWY 145	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029506	7/11/2022 20:56 EXTRA PATROL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029523	7/11/2022 23:16 HARASSMENT	501 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22029527	7/11/2022 23:57 SUSPICIOUS VEHICLE	94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029593	7/12/2022 11:14 PHONE CALL	201 N 19TH ST	DOLORES, CO	DKELSO
22029651	7/12/2022 16:49 UNWANTED PERSON	501 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	APARKER
22029656	7/12/2022 17:48 LOST OR STOLEN PROPERTY	701 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
22029664	7/12/2022 18:38 FOLLOWUP	18380 HWY 145	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
22029670	7/12/2022 19:38 SHOTS FIRED	1501 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
22029708	7/13/2022 5:05 SUSPICIOUS VEHICLE	100 N 14TH ST	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029709		100 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029710	7/13/2022 5:40 TRAFFIC STOP	100 N 21ST ST	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029744		420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	DKELSO
22029822	7/13/2022 20:23 SUSPICIOUS PERSON	209 S 6TH ST	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22029909	7/14/2022 10:59 TRAFFIC STOP	1100 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	APARKER
22029956		45 PORTER WAY	DOLORES, CO	APARKER
22030014	7/14/2022 21:34 TRAFFIC STOP	200 S 8TH ST	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22030019		94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22030035	7/15/2022 0:49 TRAFFIC STOP	200 S 5TH AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22030063		202 S 8TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22030079	7/15/2022 10:27 FOLLOWUP	96 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE

22030086	7/15/2022 10:56 UNCONSCIOUS OR UNRESPONSIVE	501 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22030095	7/15/2022 11:38 WELFARE CHECK	200 S 8TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22030099	7/15/2022 12:04 FOUND PROPERTY	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22030121	7/15/2022 14:26 ATTEMPT TO SERVE CIVIL	101 S 6TH ST	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22030184		1000 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22030197		200 S 6TH ST	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22030207	7/16/2022 2:14 EXTRA PATROL	94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22030213	7/16/2022 4:11 EXTRA PATROL	94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22030218	7/16/2022 8:38 COMPLAINT	500 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	SBOGOTT
22030252	7/16/2022 13:32 TRAFFIC STOP	700 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22030253	7/16/2022 13:34 911 OPEN LINE	94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	SBOGOTT
22030310		1319 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22030311		104 S 2ND ST	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22030312		94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22030313		100 S 4TH ST	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22030325	7/16/2022 21:05 TRAFFIC STOP	200 N 9TH ST	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22030356	7/17/2022 0:45 EXTRA PATROL	94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22030369	7/17/2022 5:50 EXTRA PATROL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22030400		200 S 5TH AVE	DOLORES, CO	SBOGOTT
22030402	7/17/2022 11:52 TRAFFIC STOP	100 N 9TH ST	DOLORES, CO	SBOGOTT
22030403		200 S 9TH ST	DOLORES, CO	SBOGOTT
22030488	7/17/2022 21:54 TRAFFIC STOP	89 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22030556	7/18/2022 10:43 VIN INSPECTION	209 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22030607	7/18/2022 16:00 STOLEN VEHICLE	104 N 20TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22030633		420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22030699		200 N 11TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VRENDON
22030708		94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	VRENDON
22030753		18396 HWY 145	DOLORES, CO	DKELSO
22030790		100 S 4TH ST	DOLORES, CO	SBOGOTT
22030849	7/20/2022 6:33 ABANDON VEHICLE	600 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	DKELSO
22030850	7/20/2022 6:54 FOOT	94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	DKELSO
22030872	7/20/2022 9:01 HARASSMENT	104 N 20TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VRENDON
22030888		104 N 20TH ST	DOLORES, CO	DKELSO
22030920	7/20/2022 14:59 PHONE CALL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	VRENDON

22030968	7/20/2022 20:19 EXTRA PATROL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
2203093	7/20/2022 23:24 BUSINESS ALARM	102 S 11TH ST	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22030995	7/20/2022 23:31 PHONE CALL	200 N 8TH ST	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22031035	7/21/2022 8:45 ATTEMPT TO SERVE CIVIL	104 N 20TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VRENDON
22031048		104 N 20TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VRENDON
22031100		102 S 11TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VRENDON
22031127	7/21/2022 17:32 CUSTODY DISPUTE	110 N 7TH ST	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
22031222	7/22/2022 11:06 TRAFFIC STOP	1 B ST	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22031250	7/22/2022 14:03 FOLLOWUP	203 S 2ND ST	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22031301	7/22/2022 19:40 DISTURBANCE	204 RIVERSIDE AVE	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
22031355	7/23/2022 3:27 UNWANTED PERSON	102 S 2ND ST	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22031369	7/23/2022 7:37 ANIMAL FOUND	606 HILLSIDE AVE	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22031386	7/23/2022 9:15 BREAK IN	102 S 2ND ST	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22031421	7/23/2022 13:15 TRAFFIC STOP	100 N 14TH ST	DOLORES, CO	TMENDOZA
22031459		94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	TMENDOZA
22031490		200 S 8TH ST	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22031495	7/23/2022 22:41 TRAFFIC STOP	100 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22031497		400 RIVERSIDE AVE	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22031523	7/24/2022 5:39 TRAFFIC PROBLEM	100 N 11TH ST	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22031551		300 N 15TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22031553	7/24/2022 12:47 TRAFFIC STOP	200 N 11TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22031576	7/24/2022 16:37 EXTRA PATROL	200 N 16TH ST	DOLORES, CO	TMENDOZA
22031593		94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22031611	7/24/2022 21:19 PARKING COMPLAINT	100 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22031612	7/24/2022 21:28 SUSPICIOUS VEHICLE	94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22031628	7/24/2022 22:58 WELFARE CHECK	108 N 7TH ST	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22031858	7/26/2022 11:36 WILDLIFE	207 N 12TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22031863		420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22031895		420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	VRENDON
22031911		302 N 15TH ST	DOLORES, CO	SBOGOTT
22031927		207 S 6TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VRENDON
22031981		94 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22031982	7/27/2022 5:12 CODE ENFORCEMENT	204 N 19TH ST	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE

22031983	7/27/2022 5:32 CODE ENFORCEMENT	18380 HWY 145	DOLORES, CO	SWHITE
22031987	7/27/2022 6:43 ATTEMPT TO SERVE CIVIL	18380 HWY 145	DOLORES, CO	TMENDOZA
22031989	7/27/2022 6:46 ATTEMPT TO SERVE CIVIL	204 N 19TH ST	DOLORES, CO	TMENDOZA
22032021	7/27/2022 10:00 NEIGHBOR DISPUTE	201 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
22032024	7/27/2022 10:13 EXTRA PATROL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	TMENDOZA
22032086	7/27/2022 14:48 EXTRA PATROL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	TMENDOZA
22032186	7/28/2022 8:03 EXTRA PATROL	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22032283	7/28/2022 16:28 TRAFFIC STOP	1009 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22032286	7/28/2022 16:37 TRAFFIC STOP	200 S 8TH ST	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22032315	7/28/2022 21:06 SUSPICIOUS VEHICLE	1323 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22032363	7/29/2022 8:08 MISSING PERSON	300 N 15TH ST	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22032366	7/29/2022 8:14 FOLLOWUP	1010 MERRITT WAY	DOLORES, CO	VYAMPOLSKY
22032531	7/29/2022 20:03 COMPLAINT	777 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22032661	7/30/2022 19:31 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	300 N 15TH ST	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
22032676	7/30/2022 22:54 TRAFFIC STOP	900 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	DPADILLA
22032738	7/31/2022 14:36 MENTAL SUBJECT	420 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	DKELSO
22032749	7/31/2022 16:48 WELFARE CHECK	1800 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22032773	7/31/2022 20:26 INTOXICATED PEDESTRIAN	300 S 4TH ST	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE
22032774	7/31/2022 20:29 SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY	1800 CENTRAL AVE	DOLORES, CO	ALUDINGTON
22032775	7/31/2022 20:37 TRESPASS	501 RAILROAD AVE	DOLORES, CO	AVIOLETTE

50 % OF THE FISCAL YEAR HAS ELAPSED

COUNTY OF MONTEZUMA EXPENDITURES WITH COMPARISON TO BUDGET FOR THE 6 MONTHS ENDING JUNE 30, 2022

GENERAL FUND

		PERIOD ACTUAL	YTD ACTUAL	BUDGET	UNEXPENDED	PCNT
ا ۵	DOLORES CONTRACT					
20 PE	PERMANENT SALARIES	10,638.77	53,676.89	108,000.00	54,323.11	49.7
52 0	OVERTIME	00`	226.18	4,000.00	3,773.82	5.7
30 FF	FRINGE BENEFITS	3,570.08	16,967.83	39,000.00	22,032.17	43.5
20	OPERATING EXPENSES	1,068.00	4,222.98	8,000.00	3,777.02	52.8
21 MI	MP MAINTENANCE	00.	00	1,500.00	1,500.00	0
10 PF	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	00.	00	3,000.00	3,000.00	0
87 VE	VEHICLE EXPENSES	00	00.	6,500.00	6,500.00	0
10 0	DISPATCH FEES	00`	00	30,000.00	30,000.00	0

PERMANENT SALARIES	OVERTIME	FRINGE BENEFITS	OPERATING EXPENSES	MP MAINTENANCE	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	VEHICLE EXPENSES	DISPATCH FEES
001,1650,1120	001,1650,1152	001.1650.1160	001,1650,1220	001.1650.1221	001,1650,1310	001,1650,1387	001 1650 1610

TOTAL DOLORES CONTRACT

37.6

124,906.12

200,000 00

75,093.88

15,276.85

			Account	Debit	Credit		
Date Journal	Payer	e or Description	Number	Amount	Amount	Balance	
DOLORES CONTRAC	СТ						
PERMANENT SALAR	IES	05/31/2022 (05/22) B	alance 001.1650.1120			43,038,12	
06/04/2022 PC		FOR 6/4/2022 PAY PER		4,978.48			
06/18/2022 PC	PAYROLL TRANS	FOR 6/18/2022 PAY PI		5,660.29			
		06/30/2022 (06/22) P	eriod Totals and Balance	10,638.77	.00	53,676.89	
YTD Encumbrance	.00 YTD Actual	53,676,89 Total	53,676.89 YTD Budget	108,000.00 Unexper	nded 54,323.11		
OVERTIME		05/31/2022 (05/22) B	alance 001,1650,1152			226.18	
		06/30/2022 (06/22) P	eriod Totals and Balance	.00	.00.	226.18	
YTD Encumbrance	.00 YTD Actual	226.18 Total	226,18 YTD Budget	4,000.00 Unexpended	3,773.82		
RINGE BENEFITS		05/31/2022 (05/22) B	alance 001,1650,1160			13,397.75	
06/04/2022 PB	PAYROLL TRANS	FOR 6/4/2022 PAY PER	OOI	596.44			
06/18/2022 PB	PAYROLL TRANS	FOR 6/18/2022 PAY PI	-	2,973.64			
		06/30/2022 (06/22) P	eriod Totals and Balance	3,570.08	.00.	16,967.83	
YTD Encumbrance	.00 YTD Actual	16,967.83 Total	16,967.83 YTD Budget	39,000.00 Unexpen	ded 22,032.17		
PERATING EXPENS	SES	05/31/2022 (05/22) Ba	alance 001,1650,1220			3,154.98	
05/20/2022 AP	AT&T			97.78			
06/20/2022 AP	AT&T			97,77			
06/16/2022 AP	CORTEZ COPY & F	PRINT		473.75			
04/20/2022 AP	LIFELOC TECHNO	LOGIES		398.70			
		06/30/2022 (06/22) Po	eriod Totals and Balance	1,068.00	.00	4,222.98	
TD Encumbrance	.00 YTD Actual	4,222.98 Total	4,222.98 YTD Budget	8,000.00 Unexpende	d 3,777.02		
MP MAINTENANCE		05/31/2022 (05/22) Ba	alance 001.1650.1221			00	
		06/30/2022 (06/22) Pe	eriod Totals and Balance	.00	00	00	
TD Encumbrance	.00 YTD Actual	.00 Total	.00 YTD Budget 1	500.00 Unexpended	1,500.00		
PROFESSIONAL SER	IVICES	05/31/2022 (05/22) Ba	alance 001.1650.1310			00	
		, ,	eriod Totals and Balance	.00	.00	00	
TD Encumbrance	.00 YTD Actual	.00 Total	.00 YTD Budget 3,	000.00 Unexpended	3,000.00		
EHICLE EXPENSES		' '	alance 001,1650,1387			.00	
		06/30/2022 (06/22) Pe	eriod Totals and Balance	.00	.00	00	
TD Encumbrance	.00 YTD Actual	.00 Total	.00 YTD Budget 6,	500,00 Unexpended	6,500.00		
LEET COSTS		05/31/2022 (05/22) Ba	alance 001.1650.1425			.00	
		. ,	eriod Totals and Balance	.00	.00	00	
YTD Encumbrance	.00 YTD Actual	.00 Total	.00 YTD Budget	.00 Unexpended	00		
PAINING		05/04/2000 (05/00) P	004 4050 4505				
TRAINING		, ,	alance 001.1650.1500 eriod Totals and Balance	.00	.00	.00	
						.00	
TD Encumbrance	.00 YTD Actual	.00 Total	.00 YTD Budget	.00 Unexpended	.00		
DISPATCH FEES		05/31/2022 (05/22) Ba	alance 001.1650.1610			00.	
		06/30/2022 (06/22) Pe	eriod Totals and Balance	.00	.00	00	
TD Encumbrance	.00 YTD Actual	.00 Total	.00 YTD Budget 30	,000.00 Unexpended	30,000.00		
		1 30 3001		onponed	,		

County of Montezuma

Detail Ledger - expense ledger Period: 06/22 - 06/22

Page 26 Jul 28 2022 98:13AM

Date Journal	Payee	e or Description	Account Number	Debit Amount	Credit Amount	Balance	
CONTRACT REFUND			Balance 001,1650,1675 Period Totals and Balance	.00	.00	00	
YTD Encumbrance	.00 YTD Actual	.00 Total	.00 YTD Budget	.00 Unexpended	.00		
Total DOLORES	CONTRACT:			15,276.85	.00.	59,817.03	



Dolores

Colorado

To: MAYOR AND TRUSTEES

From: Ken Charles, Dolores Town Manager

Dt: August 8, 2022

RE: Meeting Information Update

Monday/s Town Board Meeting

On the agenda for our regular meeting Monday August 8, 2022: On the agenda are:

- 1. First Reading Ordinance 559 Adding a fifth member to the Park Advisory Committee.
- 2. Resolution 498 Lifting the Town of Dolores Fire Ban.
- 3. Consideration of adding a new Housing Task Force member.
- 4. Staff presentation on the transfer of property to the Dolores Fire Protection District.

General Updates

- Logan Simpson is presenting its preliminary plan for JRP on August 11 at 6:00 pm. We will
 post the results of the latest survey and map of the plan on our website. We will have the same
 presentation for our August 22 town board meeting. This will be followed by a public
 workshop with the community to review the results of the questionnaire, review the
 Opportunities and Challenges exhibit and the two alternatives. Logan Simpson will facilitate
 two breakout groups to identify the best areas for the alternatives.
- Streets- the town crew completed work on 16th street between Railroad and Hillside. Even with the added width the parking remains a problem for circulation. Staff will bring options for limiting parking to either one side or none. We will have a similar approach to 15th. Between 'Railroad and Hillside.
- Escalante Days is August 13. This includes a softball tournament. Law enforcement and the town crew are preparing for the event.
- I attended a workshop August 2 at Fort Lewis College that outlined the various funding opportunities for workforce housing in the state. The workshop was hosted by the Colorado Department of Local Affairs-Division of Housing and Housing Colorado, a not-for-profit organization. The state has budgeted hundreds of millions for workforce housing that can be used to offset costs of housing development.

The First meeting of the town's Housing Task is set for Tuesday August 9. The members of the task force include Trustee Wheeler and Mayor Reeves, P&Z members Watters and Heeney,



- DSB Larry Engel, School superintendent Blincoe, Citizens Jen Stark and Lainey Beyhan.
- We have been seeking two proposals from manufactures of Bear Proof Containers. The town was recently awarded \$27,000 from CPW to complete the transition to all bear proof garbage containers. We intend to award a contract this month.
- Flanders Restroom-staff will be meeting with our architect Connie Giles next week to discuss and make decisions on the design of the restroom. Staff will create a link on the town's website like what we have for the JRP master plan that will list information. There continues to be questions and concerns about the location of the restroom adjacent to Highway 145 versus along 5th street or in the northwest corner of the park. The location along Highway 145 is primarily dictated by the proximity of the sewer and water utilities that are all located on the south side of town hall. Additionally, any other location would require a lift station, which is impractical due to freezing, elevation differences, maintenance, and the cost. Staff estimates that a lift station would add an additional \$50,000 to the project. Staff is working with the historical society
- Upcoming Events
- August 13 Escalante Days and Softball Tournament
- August 22 Town Board Workshop and Regular meeting

First Amendment Issues Around Special Event Permits

Speach by the government versus

- Municpal government has broad discretion to express its views subject to restrictions such as the Estblishment Clause. Rosenberger v. University of Virginia, 515 U.S. 819 (1995)
- Granting an event permit to a private entity is NOT considered speach or endrosement of speach by the government

Regulation of Speach by the government

- Issuing a permit to hold an event on government property is regulation of speach by the government. Lehman v. City of Shaker Heights, 418 U.S. 298 (1974)
- The next question is where the speach occrus -- is the speach in a Traditional Public Forum?

Is the permit to use a park a Traditional Forum?

- •A traditional public forum includes streets and public parks. Hague v. Committee for Industrial Organization, 307 U.S. 496 (1939) and Perry Ed. Assn. v. Perry Local Educators' Assn., 460 U.S. 37, 45 (1983)
- Government has very limited ability to regulate speach in a Traditional Public Forum

Restrictions in Traditinal Public Forums

- Local governments MAY impose limited licensing requirements, including fees, and regulate the time, place and manner of events and speach in the Traditional Public Forum.
- •Governments may NOT make arbitrary decisions on who is able to speak and may NOT regulate the content of the speach in the Traditional Public Forum
- Forsyth County, Ga. V. Nationalist Movement, 505 U.S. 123, (1992).

Does the Town Violate the Establishment Clause when a vendor allows politcial speach? No. The Town issued a special event permit to a private entity that chose to allow political speach. This is not an endorsement by the Town of the views expressed by the permit holder or its vendors.

May the Town regulate speach by vendors at special events?

 No. The town may NOT adopt regulations that restrict the type or content of speach permitted by vendors at a special event permitted by the Town in a Traditional Public Forum such as a parade.

- Recall that parks and streets are traditional public forums for free speech
- Parades are considered a traditional public forum
- Municipalities and other government entities may not regulate the content of speech in a traditional public forum
- Municipalities may impose reasonable regulations on the time place and manner of the speech such as requiring permits
- Municipalities may NOT prohibit political messages in parades
- But non government parade organizers MAY regulate speech. For example in Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston (1995). In a unanimous opinion delivered by Justice David H. Souter, the Court held that the parade organizers—various veterans' groups—had a free speech right to exclude an Irish gay group from participating in a St. Patrick's Day parade in Boston.
- While some municipalities have banned parades altogether, Courts, including a recent federal district court case out of Philadelphia have not approved of such policies where similar types of events are permitted. See Philadelphia Vietnam Veterans Memorial Society City of Philadelphia.

- Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston (1995). In a unanimous opinion delivered by Justice David H. Souter, the Court held that the parade organizers—various veterans' groups—had a free speech right to exclude an Irish gay group from participating in a St. Patrick's Day parade in Boston.
- The gay group argued that their exclusion violated both the state and federal constitutions and the <u>state public accommodations law</u>, which prohibited "any distinction, discrimination or restriction on account of . . .sexual orientation . . .relative to the admission of any person to, or treatment in any place of public accommodation, resort or amusement." But the Court said that being forced to include groups, such as a gay and lesbian group, would alter "the parade's expressive content and thereby violated the fundamental First Amendment rule that a speaker has the autonomy to choose the content of his own message and, conversely, to decide what not to say."

The Public Forum.

In 1895, while on the highest court of Mas-sachusetts, future Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes rejected a contention that public property was by right open to the public as a place where the right of speech could be recognized,1444 and on review the United States Supreme Court endorsed Holmes' view.1445 Years later, beginning with Hague v. CIO,1446 the Court reconsidered the issue. Justice Roberts wrote in Hague: "Wherever the title of streets and parks may rest, they have immemorially been held in trust for the use of the public and, time out of mind, have been used for purposes of assembly, communicating thoughts between citizens, and discussing public questions. Such use of the streets and public places has from ancient times, been a part of the privileges, immunities, rights, and liberties of citizens." Although this opinion was not itself joined by a majority of the Justices, the Court subsequently endorsed the view in several opinions.1447

The Roberts view was called into question in the 1960s, however, when the Court seemed to leave the issue open,1448 and when a majority endorsed an opinion by Justice Black asserting his own narrower view of speech rights in public places.1449 Later decisions restated and quoted the Roberts language from Hague, and that is now the position of the Court.1450 Public streets and parks,1451 including those adjacent to courthouses1452 and foreign embassies,1453 as well as public libraries1454 and the grounds of legislative bodies,1455 are open to public demonstrations, although the uses to which

public areas are dedicated may shape the range of permissible expression and conduct that may occur there. 1456 Moreover, not all public properties are public forums. "[T]he First Amendment does not guarantee access to property simply because it is owned or controlled by the government."1457 "The crucial question is whether the manner of expression is basically compatible with the normal activity of a particular place at a particular time."1458 Thus, by the nature of the use to which the property is put or by tradition, some sites are simply not as open for expression as streets and parks are.

1459 But if government does open non-traditional forums for expressive activities, it may not discriminate on the basis of content or viewpoint in according access. 1460 The Court, however, remains divided with respect to the reach of the public forum doctrine. 1461

Speech in public forums is subject to time, place, and manner regulations that take into account such matters as control of traffic in the streets, the scheduling of two meetings or demonstrations at the same time and place, the preventing of blockages of building entrances, and the like. 1462 Such regulations are closely scrutinized in order to protect free expression, and, to be valid, must be justified without reference to the content or subject matter of speech, 1463 must serve a significant governmental interest, 1464 and must leave open ample alternative channels for communication of the information. 1465 The Court has written that a time, place, or manner regulation "must be narrowly tailored to serve the government's legitimate, content-neutral interests but that it need not be the least restrictive or least intrusive means of doing so. Rather, the requirement of narrow tailoring is satisfied . . . [s]o long as the means chosen are not substantially broader than necessary to achieve the government's interest "1466 A contentneutral time, place, and manner regulation of the use of a public forum must also "contain adequate standards to guide the official's decision and render it subject to effective judicial review."1467 Unlike a content-based licensing scheme, however, it need not "adhere to the procedural requirements set forth in Freedman."1468 These requirements include that the "burden of proving that the film [or other speech] is unprotected expression must rest on the censor," and that the censor must, "within a specified brief period, either issue a license or go to court to restrain showing the film. Any restraint imposed in advance of a final judicial determination on the merits must similarly be limited to preservation of the status quo for the shortest fixed period compatible with sound judicial resolution."1469

A corollary to the rule forbidding regulation based on content is the principle—a merging of free expression and equal protection standards—that government may not discriminate between different kinds of messages in affording access. 1470 In order to ensure against covert forms of

discrimination against expression and between different kinds of content, the Court has insisted that licensing systems be constructed as free as possible of the opportunity for arbitrary administration. 1471 The Court has also applied its general strictures against prior restraints in the contexts of permit systems and judicial restraint of expression. 1472

It appears that government may not deny access to the public forum for demonstrators on the ground that the past meetings of these demonstrators resulted in violence,1473 and may not vary a demonstration licensing fee based on an estimate of the amount of hostility likely to be engendered,1474 but the Court's position with regard to the "heckler's veto," the governmental termination of a speech or demonstration because of hostile crowd reaction, remains unclear.1475

The Court has defined three categories of public property for public forum analysis. First, there is the traditional public forum— places such as streets and parks that have traditionally been used for public assembly and debate, where the government may not prohibit all communicative activity and must justify content-neutral time, place, and manner restrictions as narrowly tailored to serve a legitimate interest. 1476 Second, there is the designated public forum, where the government opens property for communicative activity and thereby creates a public forum. Such a forum may be limited hence the expression "limited public forum"—for "use by certain groups, e.g., Widmar v. Vincent (student groups), or for discussion of certain subjects, e.g., City of Madison Joint School District v. Wisconsin PERC (school board business),"1477 but, within the framework of such legitimate limitations, "a content-based prohibition must be narrowly drawn to effectuate a compelling state interest."1478 Third, with respect to "[p]ublic property which is not by tradition or designation a forum for public communication," the government "may reserve the forum for its intended purposes, communicative or otherwise, as long as the regulation on [sic] speech is reasonable and not an effort to suppress expression merely because public officials oppose the speaker's view."1479 The distinction between the first and second categories, on the one hand, and third category, on the other, can therefore determine the outcome of a case, because speakers may be excluded from the first and second categories only for a "compelling" governmental interest, whereas exclusion from the third category need only be "reasonable."

The Court held that a school system did not create a limited public forum by opening an interschool mail system to use by selected civic groups "that engage in activities of interest and educational relevance to students," and that, in any event, if a limited public forum had thereby been created a teachers union rivaling the exclusive bargaining representative could still be excluded as not being "of a similar character" to the civic groups. 1480 Less

problematic was the Court's conclusion that utility poles and other municipal property did not constitute a public forum for the posting of signs. 1481 More problematic was the Court's conclusion that the Combined Federal Campaign, the Federal Government's forum for coordinated charitable solicitation of federal employees, is not a limited public forum. Exclusion of various advocacy groups from participation in the Campaign was upheld as furthering "reasonable" governmental interests in offering a forum to "traditional health and welfare charities," avoiding the appearance of governmental favoritism of particular groups or viewpoints, and avoiding disruption of the federal workplace by controversy. 1482 The Court pinpointed the government's intention as the key to whether a public forum has been created: "The government does not create a public forum by inaction or by permitting limited discourse, but only by intentionally opening a nontraditional forum for public discourse."1483 Under this categorical approach, the government has wide discretion in maintaining the nonpublic character of its forums, and may regulate in ways that would be impermissible were it to designate a limited public forum.1484

Application of these principles continues to raise often difficult questions. In *United States v. Kokinda*, a majority of Justices, who ultimately upheld a ban on soliciting contributions on postal premises under the "reasonableness" review governing nonpublic fora, could not agree on the public forum status of a sidewalk located entirely on postal service property. 1485 Two years later, in *International Society for Krishna Consciousness, Inc. v. Lee*, the Court similarly divided as to whether non-secured areas of airport terminals, including shops and restaurants, constitute public fora. 1486 A five-Justice majority held that airport terminals are not public fora and upheld regulations banning the repetitive solicitation of money within the terminals. 1487

A decade later, the Court considered the public forum status of the Internet. In *United States v. American Library Association, Inc.*, a four-Justice plurality held that "Internet access in public libraries is neither a 'traditional' nor a 'designated' public forum." 1488 The plurality therefore did not apply strict scrutiny in upholding the Children's Internet Protection Act, which provides that a public school or "library may not receive federal assistance to provide Internet access unless it installs software to block images that constitute obscenity or child pornography, and to prevent minors from obtaining access to material that is harmful to them." 1489

More recently, in *Packingham v. North Carolina*, the Court appeared to equate the Internet to traditional public fora like a street or public park. Specifically, Justice Kennedy, writing for the Court, observed that, "[w]hile in the past there may have been difficulty in identifying the most important places (in a spatial sense) for the exchange of views, today the answer is

clear. It is cyberspace—the 'vast democratic forums of the Internet' in general, and social media in particular."1490 Consequently, the Court struck down a North Carolina law making it a felony for registered sex offenders to use commercial social networking websites that allow minor children to be members, such as Facebook. Applying strict scrutiny, the Court held that the North Carolina law impermissibly restricted lawful speech as it was not narrowly tailored to serve the government's interest in protecting minors from registered sex offenders because it "foreclose[d] access to social media altogether," thereby "prevent- [ing] the user from engaging in the legitimate exercise of First Amendment rights."1491

Nevertheless, although Internet access in public libraries is not a public forum, and particular Web sites, like particular newspapers, would not constitute public forums, the Internet as a whole might be viewed as a public forum, despite its lack of a historic tradition. The Supreme Court has not explicitly held that the Internet as a whole is a public forum, but, in *Reno v. ACLU*, which struck down a prohibition in the Communications Decency Act of 1996 on "indecent" material on the Internet, the Court noted that the Internet "constitutes a vast platform from which to address and hear from a worldwide audience of millions of readers, viewers, researchers, and buyers. Any person or organization with a computer connected to the Internet can 'publish' information." 1492

Footnotes

1444

Commonwealth v. Davis, 162 Mass. 510, 511 (1895). "For the Legislature absolutely or conditionally to forbid public speaking in a highway or public park is no more an infringement of rights of a member of the public than for the owner of a private house to forbid it in the house."

1445

Davis v. Massachusetts, 167 U.S. 43, 48 (1897).

1446

307 U.S. 496 (1939). Only Justice Black joined the Roberts opinion, but only Justices McReynolds and Butler dissented from the result.

1447

E.g., Schneider v. Town of Irvington, <u>308 U.S. 147</u>, 163 (1939); Kunz v. New York, <u>340 U.S. 290</u>, 293 (1951). **→**

1448

Cox v. Louisiana, 379 U.S. 536, 555 (1965). For analysis of this case in the broader context, see Kalven, The Concept of the Public Forum: Cox v. Louisiana, 1965 SUP. CT. REV. 1.

1449

Adderley v. Florida, 385 U.S. 39 (1966). See id. at 47–48; Cox v. Louisiana, 379 U.S. 559, 578 (1965) (Justice Black concurring in part and dissenting in part); Jamison v. Texas, 318 U.S. 413, 416 (1943) (Justice Black for the Court).

1450

E.g., Shuttlesworth v. City of Birmingham, <u>394 U.S. 147</u>, 152 (1969); Grayned v. City of Rockford, <u>408 U.S. 104</u>, 115 (1972); Carey v. Brown, <u>447 U.S. 455</u>, 460 (1980). ■

1451

Hague v. CIO, 307 U.S. 496 (1939); Niemotko v. Maryland, 340 U.S. 268 (1951); Kunz v. New York, 340 U.S. 290 (1951); Shuttlesworth v. City of Birmingham, 394 U.S. 147 (1969); Coates v. City of Cincinnati, 402 U.S. 611 (1971); Grayned v. City of Rockford, 408 U.S. 104 (1972); Greer v. Spock, 424 U.S. 828, 835–36 (1976); Carey v. Brown, 447 U.S. 455 (1980).

1452

Narrowly drawn statutes that serve the state's interests in security and in preventing obstruction of justice and influencing of judicial officers are constitutional. Cox v. Louisiana, 379 U.S. 559 (1965). A restriction on carrying signs or placards on the grounds of the Supreme Court is unconstitutional as applied to the public sidewalks surrounding the Court, since it does not sufficiently further the governmental purposes of protecting the building and grounds, maintaining proper order, or insulating the judicial decisionmaking process from lobbying. United States v. Grace, 461 U.S. 171 (1983).

1453

In Boos v. Barry, <u>485 U.S. 312 (1988)</u>, the Court struck down as content-based a District of Columbia law prohibiting the display of any sign within 500 feet of a foreign embassy if the sign tends to bring the foreign government into "public odium" or "public disrepute." However, another aspect of the District's law, making it unlawful for three or more persons to congregate within 500 feet of an embassy and refuse to obey a police dispersal order, was upheld; under a narrowing construction, the law had been held applicable only to congregations directed at an embassy, and reasonably believed to present a threat to the peace or security of the embassy.

1454

Brown v. Louisiana, 383 U.S. 131 (1966) (sit-in in library reading room).

1455

Edwards v. South Carolina, <u>372 U.S. 229 (1963)</u>; Jeanette Rankin Brigade v. Capitol Police Chief, 342 F. Supp. 575 (D.C. 1972) (three-judge court), *aff'd*, <u>409 U.S. 972 (1972)</u> (voiding statute prohibiting parades and demonstrations on United States Capitol grounds).

1456

E.g., Grayned v. City of Rockford, 408 U.S. 104 (1972) (sustaining ordinance prohibiting noisemaking adjacent to school if that noise disturbs or threatens to

disturb the operation of the school); Brown v. Louisiana, <u>383 U.S. 131</u> (<u>1966</u>) (silent vigil in public library protected while noisy and disruptive demonstration would not be); Tinker v. Des Moines Independent School District, <u>393 U.S. 503 (1969)</u> (wearing of black armbands as protest protected but not if it results in disruption of school); Cameron v. Johnson, <u>390 U.S. 611</u> (<u>1968</u>) (preservation of access to courthouse); Frisby v. Schultz, <u>487 U.S. 474</u> (<u>1988</u>) (ordinance prohibiting picketing "before or about" any residence or dwelling, narrowly construed as prohibiting only picketing that targets a particular residence, upheld as furthering significant governmental interest in protecting the privacy of the home).

1457

United States Postal Serv. v. Council of Greenburgh Civic Assn's, 453 U.S. 114 (1981).

1458

Grayned v. City of Rockford, 408 U.S. 104, 116 (1972).

1459

E.g., Adderley v. Florida, 385 U.S. 39 (1966) (jails); Lehman v. City of Shaker Heights, 418 U.S. 298 (1974) (advertising space in city rapid transit cars); Greer v. Spock, 424 U.S. 828 (1976) (military bases); United States Postal Service v. Council of Greenburgh Civic Ass'ns, 453 U.S. 114 (1981) (private mail boxes); Perry Educ. Ass'n v. Perry Local Educators' Ass'n, 460 U.S. 37 (1983) (interschool mail system); ISKCON v. Lee, 505 U.S. 672 (1992) (publicly owned airport terminal).

1460

E.g., Southeastern Promotions, Ltd. v. Conrad, 420 U.S. 546 (1975) (municipal theater); Madison School District v. WERC, 429 U.S. 167 (1976) (school board meeting); Heffron v. ISKCON, 452 U.S. 640 (1981) (state fair grounds); Widmar v. Vincent, 454 U.S. 263 (1981) (university meeting facilities).

1461

Compare United States Postal Service v. Council of Greenburgh Civic Ass'ns, 454 U.S. 114, 128–31 (1981), with id. at 136–40 (Justice Brennan concurring), and 142 (Justice Marshall dissenting). For evidence of continuing division, compare ISKCON v. Lee, 505 U.S. 672 (1992) with id. at 693 (Justice Kennedy concurring).

1462

See, e.g., Heffron v. ISKCON, 452 U.S. 640, 647–50 (1981), and id. at 656 (Justice Brennan concurring in part and dissenting in part) (stating law and discussing cases); Clark v. Community for Creative Non-Violence, 468 U.S. 288 (1984) (prohibition of sleep-in demonstration in area of park not designated for overnight camping).

1463

Niemotko v. Maryland, <u>340 U.S. 268 (1951)</u>; Cox v. Louisiana, <u>379 U.S. 536 (1965)</u>; Police Dep't of Chicago v. Mosle, <u>408 U.S. 92 (1972)</u>; Madison School

District v. WERC, 429 U.S. 167 (1976); Carey v. Brown, 447 U.S. 455 (1980); Widmar v. Vincent, 454 U.S. 263 (1981). In Lehman v. City of Shaker Heights, 418 U.S. 298 (1974), a divided Court permitted the city to sell commercial advertising space on the walls of its rapid transit cars but to refuse to sell political advertising space.

1464

E.g., the governmental interest in safety and convenience of persons using public forum, Heffron v. ISKCON, <u>452 U.S. 640</u>, 650 (1981); the interest in preservation of a learning atmosphere in school, Grayned v. City of Rockford, <u>408 U.S. 104</u>, 115 (1972); and the interest in protecting traffic and pedestrian safety in the streets, Cox v. Louisiana, <u>379 U.S. 536</u>, 554–55 (1965); Kunz v. New York, <u>340 U.S. 290</u>, 293–94 (1951); Hague v. CIO, <u>307 U.S. 496</u>, 515–16 (1939).

1465

Heffron v. ISKCON, <u>452 U.S. 640</u>, 654–55 (1981); Consolidated Edison Co. v. PSC, <u>447 U.S. 530</u>, 535 (1980). **■**

1466

Ward v. Rock Against Racism, 491 U.S. 781, 798-99, 800 (1989).

1467

Thomas v. Chicago Park Dist., 534 U.S. 316, 323 (2002).

1468

534 U.S. at 322, citing Freedman v. Maryland, 380 U.S. 51 (1965). See National Socialist Party v. Village of Skokie, 432 U.S. 43 (1977).

1469

Freedman v. Maryland, 380 U.S. 51, 58-59 (1965).

1470

Police Dep't of Chicago v. Mosle, 408 U.S. 92 (1972) (ordinance void that barred all picketing around school building except labor picketing); Carey v. Brown, 447 U.S. 455 (1980) (same); Widmar v. Vincent, 454 U.S. 263 (1981) (striking down college rule permitting access to all student organizations except religious groups); Niemotko v. Maryland, 340 U.S. 268 (1951) (striking down denial of permission to use parks for some groups but not for others); R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul, 505 U.S. 377 (1992) (striking down ordinance that prohibited symbols, such as burning crosses, that constituted fighting words that insult on the basis of some factors, such as race, but not on the basis of other factors). These principles apply only to the traditional public forum and to the governmentally created "limited public forum." Government may, without creating a limited public forum, place "reasonable" restrictions on access to nonpublic areas. See, e.g., Perry Educ. Ass'n v. Perry Local Educators' Ass'n, 460 U.S. 37, 48 (1983) (use of school mail system); and Cornelius v. NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, 473 U.S. 788 (1985) (charitable solicitation of federal employees at workplace). See also Lehman v. City of Shaker Heights, 418 U.S. 298 (1974) (city may sell commercial advertising space on the walls of its rapid

transit cars but refuse to sell political advertising space); Capitol Square Review Bd. v. Pinette, 515 U.S. 753 (1995) (denial of permission to Ku Klux Klan, allegedly in order to avoid Establishment Clause violation, to place a cross in plaza on grounds of state capitol); Rosenberger v. University of Virginia, 515 U.S. 819 (1995) (University's subsidy for printing costs of student publications, available for student "news, information, opinion, entertainment, or academic communications," could not be withheld because of the religious content of a student publication); Lamb's Chapel v. Center Moriches School Dist., 508 U.S. 384 (1993) (school district rule prohibiting after-hours use of school property for showing of a film presenting a religious perspective on child-rearing and family values, but allowing after-hours use for non-religious social, civic, and recreational purposes).

1471

E.g., Hague v. CIO, 307 U.S. 496, 516 (1939); Schneider v. Town of Irvington, 308 U.S. 147, 164 (1939); Cox v. New Hampshire, 312 U.S. 569 (1941); Poulos v. New Hampshire, 345 U.S. 395 (1953); Staub v. City of Baxley, 355 U.S. 313, 321-25 (1958); Cox v. Louisiana, 379 U.S. 536, 555-58 (1965); Shuttlesworth v. City of Birmingham, 394 U.S. 147, 150-53 (1969). Justice Stewart for the Court described these and other cases as "holding that a law subjecting the exercise of First Amendment freedoms to the prior restraint of a license without narrow, objective, and definite standards to guide the licensing authority is unconstitutional." Id. at 150-51. A person faced with an unconstitutional licensing law may ignore it, engage in the desired conduct, and challenge the constitutionality of the permit system upon a subsequent prosecution for violating it. Id. at 151; Jones v. Opelika, 316 U.S. 584, 602 (1942) (Chief Justice Stone dissenting), adopted per curiam on rehearing, 319 U.S. 103 (1943). See also City of Lakewood v. Plain Dealer Publishing Co., 486 U.S. 750 (1988) (upholding facial challenge to ordinance vesting in the mayor unbridled discretion to grant or deny annual permit for location of newsracks on public property); Riley v. National Fed'n of the Blind, 487 U.S. 781 (1988) (invalidating as permitting "delay without limit" licensing requirement for professional fundraisers); Forsyth County v. Nationalist Movement, 505 U.S. 123 (1992). But see Walker v. City of Birmingham, 388 U.S. 307 (1967) (same rule not applicable to injunctions).

1472

In Shuttlesworth v. City of Birmingham, 394 U.S. 147 (1969), the Court reaffirmed the holdings of the earlier cases, and, additionally, both Justice Stewart, for the Court, id. at 155 n.4, and Justice Harlan concurring, id. at 162–64, asserted that the principles of Freedman v. Maryland, 380 U.S. 51 (1965), governing systems of prior censorship of motion pictures, were relevant to permit systems for parades and demonstrations. The Court also voided an injunction against a protest meeting that was issued ex parte, without notice to the protestors and with, of course, no opportunity for them to rebut the representations of the seekers of the injunction. Carroll v. President and Comm'rs of Princess Anne, 393 U.S. 175 (1968).

The only precedent is Kunz v. New York, 340 U.S. 290 (1951). The holding was on a much narrower basis, but in dictum the Court said: "The court below has mistakenly derived support for its conclusions from the evidence produced at the trial that appellant's religious meetings had, in the past, caused some disorder. There are appropriate public remedies to protect the peace and order of the community if appellant's speeches should result in disorder and violence." Id. at 294. A different rule applies to labor picketing. See Milk Wagon Drivers Local 753 v. Meadowmoor Dairies, 312 U.S. 287 (1941) (background of violence supports prohibition of all peaceful picketing). The military may ban a civilian, previously convicted of destroying government property, from reentering a military base, and may apply the ban to prohibit the civilian from reentering the base for purposes of peaceful demonstration during an Armed Forces Day "open house." United States v. Albertini, 472 U.S. 675 (1985).

1474

Forsyth County v. Nationalist Movement, <u>505 U.S. 123 (1992)</u> (a fee based on anticipated crowd response necessarily involves examination of the content of the speech, and is invalid as a content regulation).

1475

Dicta indicate that a hostile reaction will not justify suppression of speech, Hague v. CIO, 307 U.S. 496, 502 (1939); Cox v. Louisiana, 379 U.S. 536, 551 (1965); Bachellar v. Maryland, 397 U.S. 564, 567 (1970), and one holding appears to point this way. Gregory v. City of Chicago, 394 U.S. 111 (1969). Yet the Court upheld a breach of the peace conviction of a speaker who refused to cease speaking upon the demand of police who feared imminent violence. Feiner v. New York, 340 U.S. 315 (1951). In Niemotko v. Maryland, 340 U.S. 268, 273 (1951) (concurring opinion), Justice Frankfurter wrote: "It is not a constitutional principle that, in acting to preserve order, the police must proceed against the crowd whatever its size and temper and not against the speaker."

1476

"[A]Ithough a park is a traditional public forum for speeches and other transitory expressive acts, the display of a permanent monument in a public park is not a form of expression to which forum analysis applies. Instead, the placement of a permanent monument in a public park is best viewed as a form of government speech and is therefore not subject to scrutiny under the Free Speech Clause." Pleasant Grove City, Utah v. Summum, 555 U.S. at 464..

1477

Perry Educ. Ass'n v. Perry Local Educators' Ass'n, 460 U.S. 37, 45, 46 n.7 (1983).

1478

460 U.S. at 46. 🛋

1479

460 U.S. at 46. Candidate debates on public television are an example of this third category of public property: the "nonpublic forum." Arkansas Educational Television Comm'n v. Forbes, <u>523 U.S. 666</u>, 679 (1998). "Although public

broadcasting as a general matter does not lend itself to scrutiny under the forum doctrine [i.e., public broadcasters ordinarily are entitled to the editorial discretion to engage in viewpoint discrimination], candidate debates present the narrow exception to this rule." Id. at 675. A public broadcaster, therefore, may not engage in viewpoint discrimination in granting or denying access to candidates. Under the third type of forum analysis, however, it may restrict candidate access for "a reasonable, viewpoint-neutral" reason, such as a candidate's "objective lack of support." Id. at 683.

1480

Perry Educ. Ass'n v. Perry Local Educators' Ass'n, 460 U.S. 37 (1983). This was a 5–4 decision, with Justice White's opinion of the Court being joined by Chief Justice Burger and by Justices Blackmun, Rehnquist, and O'Connor, and with Justice Brennan's dissent being joined by Justices Marshall, Powell, and Stevens. See also Hazelwood School Dist. v. Kuhlmeier, 484 U.S. 260 (1988) (student newspaper published as part of journalism class is not a public forum).

1481

City Council v. Taxpayers for Vincent, 466 U.S. 789 (1984) (upholding an outright ban on use of utility poles for signs). The Court noted that "it is of limited utility in the context of this case to focus on whether the tangible property itself should be deemed a public forum." Id. at 815 n.32.

1482

Cornelius v. NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, 473 U.S. 788 (1985). The precedential value of *Cornelius* may be subject to question, because it was decided by 4–3 vote, the non-participating Justices (Marshall and Powell) having dissented in *Perry*. Justice O'Connor wrote the opinion of the Court, joined by Chief Justice Burger and by Justices White and Rehnquist. Justice Blackmun, joined by Justice Brennan, dissented, and Justice Stevens dissented separately.

1483

473 U.S. at 802. Justice Blackmun criticized "the Court's circular reasoning that the CFC is not a limited public forum because the Government intended to limit the forum to a particular class of speakers." Id. at 813–14.

1484

Justice Kennedy criticized this approach in ISKCON v. Lee, 505 U.S. 672, 695 (1992) (concurring), contending that recognition of government's authority to designate the forum status of property ignores the nature of the First Amendment as "a limitation on government, not a grant of power." Justice Brennan voiced similar misgivings in his dissent in United States v. Kokinda: "public forum categories— originally conceived of as a way of preserving First Amendment rights—have been used . . . as a means of upholding restrictions on speech." 497 U.S. at 741 (citation omitted).

1485

497 U.S. 720, 727 (1990) ("[R]egulation of speech activity where the Government has not dedicated its property to First Amendment activity is examined only for reasonableness.").

1486

505 U.S. 672 (1992).

1487

Id. at 683 ("[N]either by tradition nor purpose can the terminals be described as satisfying the standards we have previously set out for identifying a public forum.").

1488

539 U.S. 194, 205–06 (2003) ("We have 'rejected the view that traditional public forum status extends beyond its historic confines.' The doctrines surrounding traditional public forums may not be extended to situations where such history is lacking." (quoting Ark. Educ. TV Comm'n v. Forbes, 523 U.S. 666, 679 (1998))). While decided on constitutional vagueness grounds, in *Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union*, the Court struck down a provision of the Communications Decency Act of 1996 that prohibited the use of an "interactive computer service" (i.e., the Internet) to display indecent material "in a manner available to a person under 18 years of age." 521 U.S. 844, 860 (1997). The Court did not consider the Internet's status as a forum for free speech, but observed that the Internet "constitutes a vast platform from which to address and hear from a world-wide audience of millions of readers, viewers, researchers, and buyers. Any person or organization with a computer connected to the Internet can 'publish' information." *Id.* at 853.

1489

American Library Association, 539 U.S. at 199; see also id. at 206 ("A public library does not acquire Internet terminals in order to create a public forum for Web publishers to express themselves, any more than it collects books in order to provide a public forum for the authors of books to speak.").

1490

Packingham v. North Carolina 582 U.S. , No. 15–1194, slip op. at 4–5 (2017) (quoting Am. Civil Liberties Union, 521 at 868); see also id. at ____, slip op. at 6 ("This case is one of the first this Court has taken to address the relationship between the First Amendment and the modern Internet. As a result, the Court must exercise extreme caution before suggesting that the First Amendment provides scant protection for access to vast networks in that medium.").

1491

Id. at ____, slip op. at 6, 8; see id. at 7 ("[G]iven the broad wording of the North Carolina statute at issue, it might well bar access not only to commonplace social media websites but also to websites as varied as Amazon.com, Washingtonpost.com, and Webmd.com."). The Court was careful to point out, however, that its opinion should not be read as barring states from enacting laws more specific than that of North Carolina, noting that "[s]pecific criminal acts are

not protected speech even if speech is the means for their commission." *Id*. (citing Brandenburg v. Ohio 395 U. S. 444, 447–49 (1969)). Indeed, "it can be assumed that the First Amendment permits a State to enact specific, narrowly tailored laws that prohibit a sex offender from engaging in conduct that often presages a sexual crime, like contacting a minor or using a website to gather information about a minor." *Id*.

1492

521 U.S. at 853. A federal court of appeals wrote: "Aspects of cyberspace may, in fact, fit into the public forum category, although the Supreme Court has also suggested that the category is limited by tradition. *Compare Forbes*, 523 U.S. at 679 ('reject[ing] the view that traditional public forum status extends beyond its historic confines' [to a public television station]) with Reno v. ACLU, 521 U.S. 844, 851–53 (1997) (recognizing the communicative potential of the Internet, specifically the World Wide Web)." Putnam Pit, Inc. v. City of Cookeville, 221 F.3d 834, 843 (6th Cir. 2000) (alternate citations to *Forbes* and *Reno* omitted). In *Putnam Pit*, the city denied a private Web site's request that the city's Web site establish a hyperlink to it, even though the city's Web site had established hyperlinks to other private Web sites. The court of appeals found that the city's Web site was a nonpublic forum, but that even nonpublic forums must be viewpoint neutral, so it remanded the case for trial on the question of whether the city's denial of a hyperlink had discriminated on the basis of viewpoint.

Water and Sewer Rates Effective January 1, 2021:

Water Rates per installed tap:	IN-TOWN	OUT-OF-TOWN
Base Rate – 4,000 gallons	\$30.84	\$44.35
4,000 - 5,000 gallons per 1,000	\$ 1.55	\$ 2.49
5,000 - 30,000 gallons per 1,000	\$ 1.68	\$ 3.04
30,001 and up per 1,000 gallons	\$ 2.04	\$ 3.67

Water Dock Rates Per 100 Gallons: \$ 1.50

Sewer Rates per installed tap:	IN-TOWN	OUT-OF-TOWN
Residential	\$ 31.16	\$51.87
Commercial (first 10,000 gallons)	\$ 31.16	\$51.87
10,001 gallons to 30,000 per 1,000	\$ 1.77	\$ 3.40
30,001 gallons and up - per 1,000	\$ 1.87	\$ 3.78

PROPOSED Water and Sewer Rates for January 1, 2023:

Water Rates per installed tap:	IN-TOWN	OUT-OF-TOWN
Base Rate – 5,000 gallons	\$33.34	\$46.85
5,001 – 10,000 gallons per 1,000	\$ 3.00	\$ 4.50
10,001 - 20,000 gallons per 1,000	\$ 4.00	\$ 6.00
20,001 & up per 1,000 gallons	\$ 5.00	\$ 7.50
Water Dock Rates Per 100 Gallons:	\$ 1.50	
Sewer Rates per installed tap:	IN-TOWN	OUT-OF-TOWN
Sewer Rates per installed tap: Residential	<u>IN-TOWN</u> \$ 36.16	<u>OUT-OF-TOWN</u> \$56.87
•		
Residential	\$ 36.16	\$56.87
Residential Commercial (first 10,000 gallons)	\$ 36.16 \$ 36.16	\$56.87 \$56.87

^{*} A flat fee of \$5.00 per sewer tap and \$2.50 per water tap were added to the proposed 2023 base rate. In the new tiers, proposed out-of-town water and sewer rates are 150% higher than in-town rates.

^{*}There are 13 sewer taps and 49 water taps out-of-town. In town, there are 509 sewer taps and 542 water taps.

^{*44} senior citizens are participating in the 25% reduced base rate senior utility program.

August 8, 2022 Town Board Meeting

	In-Town Water	In-Town Water Proposed	Increase 3%	Increase 3%	Increase 3%	Increase 3%
	Base Rate 1/21 (4,000 gallons)	Base Rate 1/23 (5,000 gallons)	2024	2025	2026	2027
Base	\$30.84	\$33.34	\$34.34	\$35.37	\$36.43	\$37.52
Tier 1	\$1.55	\$3.00	\$3.09	\$3.18	\$3.28	\$3.38
Tier 2	\$1.68	\$4.00	\$4.12	\$4.24	\$4.37	\$4.50
Tier 3	\$2.04	\$5.00	\$5.15	\$5.30	\$5.46	\$5.62

	Out-of-Town Water	Out-of-Town Water Proposed	Increase 3%	Increase 3%	Increase 3%	Increase 3%
	Base Rate 1/21 (4,000 gallons)	Base Rate 1/23 (5,000 gallons)	2024	2025	2026	2027
Base	\$44.35	\$46.85	\$48.26	\$49.71	\$51.20	\$52.74
Tier 1	\$2.49	\$4.50	\$4.64	\$4.78	\$4.92	\$5.07
Tier 2	\$3.04	\$6.00	\$6.18	\$6.37	\$6.56	\$6.76
Tier 3	\$3.67	\$7.50	\$7.73	\$7.96	\$8.20	\$8.45

	In-Town Sewer	In-Town Sewer Proposed	Increase 3%	Increase 3%	Increase 3%	Increase 3%
	Base Rate 1/21	Base Rate 1/23	2024	2025	2026	2027
Base	\$31.16	\$36.16	\$37.24	\$38.36	\$39.51	\$40.70
Commercial	\$31.16	\$36.16	\$37.24	\$38.36	\$39.51	\$40.70
Tier 2	\$1.77	\$2.66	\$2.74	\$2.82	\$2.90	\$2.99
Tier 3	\$1.87	\$2.81	\$2.89	\$2.98	\$3.07	\$3.16

	Out-of-Town Sewer	Out-of-Town Sewer Proposed	Increase 3%	Increase 3%	Increase 3%	Increase 3%
	Base Rate 1/21	Base Rate 1/23	2024	2025	2026	2027
Base	\$51.87	\$56.87	\$58.58	\$60.34	\$62.15	\$64.01
Commercial	\$51.87	\$56.87	\$58.58	\$60.34	\$62.15	\$64.01
Tier 2	\$3.40	\$3.99	\$4.11	\$4.23	\$4.36	\$4.49
Tier 3	\$3.78	\$4.22	\$4.35	\$4.48	\$4.61	\$4.75

SAMPLE BILLS

In-Town Sewer/Water - July 2022 bill from a commercial business using 11,000 gallons

Current sewer \$32.93 New sewer \$38.82 (\$36.16 + 2.66)

Current water \$42.47 New water \$52.34 (\$33.34 + \$15.00 + \$4.00)

TOTAL \$75.40 TOTAL \$91.16

In-Town Sewer/Water - July 2022 bill from a home using 18,900 gallons

Current sewer \$31.16 New sewer \$36.16

Current water \$55.74 New water \$80.34 (\$33.34 + \$15.00 + \$32.00)

TOTAL \$86.90 TOTAL \$116.50

Out-of-Town Water - July 2022 bill from a home using 28,600 gallons

Current water \$118.53 New water \$189.35 (\$46.85 + \$22.50 + \$60.00 + \$60.00)

TOTAL \$118.53 TOTAL \$189.35



Discussion and Possible Action

Meeting Date: August 8, 2022 AGENDA DOCUMENTATION ITEM

TO: TOWN OF DOLORES MAYOR &TRUSTEES

FROM: KEN CHARLES TOWN MANAGER

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION CONCERNING FUNIDNG FOR THE DOLORES SENIOR CITIZENS MEAL SITE

PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND

Montezuma County operates a senior meal program through the Social Services Department. It is primarily funded with funds from federal and state programs. There is a five-county regional Area Agency on Aging (AAA) that receives these funds and then distributes the funding to county programs. Every region in the state has an AAA. The county program operates meal sites in Dolores, Mancos and Cortez. The town contributes \$2,500 to the Dolores Meal site that operates out of the community center. This is meant to cover the meal costs for those seniors who need assistance. The meal site usually raises about \$3,500 in fees collected from paying customers.

About a month ago we received information that the AAA had cut the budget that began July 1 from \$176,000 to \$99,000. We have not received answers as to why the cuts and if all counties received similar proportional cuts, which I believe is important. This caught the county program director off guard and everyone began talking. There is enough funding to run the program through the end of the calendar year but carrying the program through until June 30, 2023 will be a challenge. There was talk about closing Dolores and Mancos. Currently only the town of Dolores contributes any funds. Most of the county operations occur at the Cortez meal site where most of the population is located. Neither the county nor the city have historically contributed to the program. We are waiting to see what funds are derived from those tow governments

Through discussion with BOCC, the meals program director and the town of Mancos we are considering ways to keep the meal sites open through June 2023. We have suggested that the two towns support the meals program by funding 50% of the shortage. Before we make any additional contributions, I would like to see what the cunty and the city contribute, but this action would allow the town to contribute at the appropriate moment.

FISCAL IMPACT

To operate the Dolores meal site that offers two lunches/week and includes the in-person site at the community center and the meals on wheels program that delivers meals costs approximately \$31,000. With the town's contribution and the collected fees that leaves \$24,000 of unfunded expenses. The obligation to the town would be \$12,000. The town can use funds received from the American Recovery Act.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is the recommendation of the Town Manager that the mayor and trustees support and approve the additional contribution to support the Dolores Seniors Meal Site.



DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION

Meeting Date: August 8th, 2022 AGENDA DOCUMENTATION Item 10.4

TO: TOWN BOARD SUBJECT: Appointment to DAWHTF

PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND:

Interest to serve by a citizen. Possible discussion of adding an additional member on the Dolores Attainable Workforce Housing Task Force (DAWHTF).

Introduction:

An interest was expressed by a phone call Kirk Swope of 18632 Highway 145, Dolores Colorado, to serve as a member of the DAWHTF. Resolution R496 Series 2022. A Committee to study affordable housing in the Town of Dolores.

Process:

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no impact financially for the town of Dolores

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends Kirk Swope to be added to the DAWHTF as of August 8th, 2022



Tammy Neely <tammy@townofdolores.com>

Fwd: Workforce housing task force

1 message

Kenneth Charles <manager@townofdolores.com>
To: tammy <tammy@townofdolores.com>

Thu, Aug 4, 2022 at 4:00 PM

Ken Charles Dolores Town Manager 970-882-7720-O 970-759-0016-C

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **Gin Black** <glmb2006@yahoo.com> Date: Wed, Aug 3, 2022 at 7:25 PM Subject: Re: Workforce housing task force

To: Kenneth Charles <manager@townofdolores.com>

Ken- Thank you for asking that I be considered for a possible appointment to the Towns Aworkforce housing task force.

I originally become very excited about the possibility of this task force after talking to Dan Tishman. We discussed his projects and I thought it would be perfect for Dolores.

Dolores is lacking in affordable housing for people who live here. It seems like a lot of the town homes and houses in Dolores are renting for \$1000 and better. This is a large sum for our area. I feel that Dolores is losing a lot of hard-working people due to lack of housing. We need homes for teachers, firemen, policemen and many other vocations. I personally had a teacher and her husband rent one of our cabins at the Outpost for 2 years, because they could not only afford anything in Dolores but there was a clear lack of choices. They did not want to live in Cortez, and drive every day, especially in the winter. I am sorry to say but they did decide to move.

So, in conclusion I am just so excited about the task force being formed and perhaps being able to help Dolores residents to work and live in our community.

Sincerely,

Kirk T. Swope

On Wednesday, August 3, 2022 at 04:38:43 PM MDT, Kenneth Charles <manager@townofdolores.com> wrote:

I asked Kurt to see if he wanted to be considered for an appointment to the town's AWorkforce housing task force.

This would be included in the town trustees board packet of information. It might state Kurt's interest.ins thematter. Why he thinks it is important. Why he thinks he can contribute.

Ken Charles Dolores Town Manager 970-882-7720-O 970-759-0016-C

ORDINANCE NO 559

SERIES 2022

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING 2017 ORDINANCE 532 CREATING A PARK/PLAYGROUND ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR THE TOWN OF DOLORES, COLORADO

WHEREAS the Town of Dolores Board of Trustees created the Park/Playground Advisory Committee for the Town of Dolores by Ordinance 532 Serries 2017 on December 11, 2017.

WHEREAS, the Town of Dolores Board of Trustees continues to recognize the need for a Park/Playground Committee to advise and guide the Dolores Town Board on matters involving the Town of Dolores owned parks, trails, and playground; and,

WHEREAS, the Town of Dolores Board of Trustees has determined that the committee will function more efficiently and have greater community involvement by expanding the number of members of the committee.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY TOWN OF DOLORES BOARD OF TRUSTEES THAT below in Title 2 Administration and Personnel Article 2.09 of the Park/Playground Advisory Committee Section 2.09.010. is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2.09.010 <u>Creation, Appointments, Qualifications and Terms of Committee</u> Members.

1. Creation

There is hereby created a Park/Playground Advisory Committee, for the Town of Dolores, consisting of six voting members — one Town of Dolores Board Member and five Citizens.

2. Appointments and Removal

All Committee members shall be appointed by the Town of Dolores Board of Trustees. Committee members may be removed from the Committee, only by action of the Town of Dolores Board of Trustees, for excessive absence or for cause see (5) below.

3. Qualifications of Committee Members

All Committee members must be Town of Dolores Residents residing within the Town of Dolores.

4. Terms or Committee Members

Town Board Member shall serve as determined by the Town Board

All other members shall be for terms of two years upon the expiration of the initial appointments.

A Town of Dolores staff member shall be appointed by the Town Manager to serve as liaison between the Committee, and the Dolores Town Manager and the Town of Dolores Board of Trustees. This staff member shall not be a voting member of the Committee.

If Committee member position becomes vacant for any reason the Town Board shall appoint a new member to finish out the balance of that unexpired term.

5. Removal

1. Committee members may be removed for cause -

"For cause" shall mean any cause affecting and concerning ability and fitness of a Committee member to perform the duties of a member of the Town of Dolores Park/Playground Advisory Committee.

For cause shall also include an unexcused absence of a Committee member from three consecutive board meetings, regular or special,

2. The Committee secretary and/or the staff liaison shall report any reasons for cause removal to the Town of Dolores Board of Trustees, through the Town Manager.

6. Officers of the Committee

There shall be a chairperson, a vice chair and secretary all elected by the members of the Committee from the Committee membership.

All terms shall be for one year with successive terms allowed.

Elections shall be held in January of each year.

7. Meetings

Meetings shall be held monthly. Special meetings may be called at any time at the request of four members of the Committee or the chairperson.

All meetings shall be preceded with the appropriate public notice.

All meetings are public meetings and shall be held in accordance with the Colorado Open Meetings Law.

8. Rules, Records, Sub Committees

The Committee shall follow Robert's Rules of Order, except where set out in this ordinance to the contrary.

The Secretary shall keep a record of all meetings either through minutes or recording the meeting as the Committee decides.

All records of the Committee are public records and subject to the Colorado Open-Records Act.

All records of the Committee shall be kept in Town Hall under the care and custody of Town Clerk.

9. Voting

A simple majority of those Committee members attending any meeting shall be sufficient for Committee action.

10. Powers and Duties

- (a) The Committee shall review items and make non-binding recommendations regarding the same to the Town of Dolores Board of Trustees and Town staff, when necessary, with respect to all aspects of planning, programming, procurement, installation, operations, maintenance, restoration, and promotion of Town-owned public parks and playgrounds.
- (b) The Committee shall review, consider, evaluate and make non-binding recommendations regarding any Park projects when requested by the Town of Dolores Board of Trustees or the Town Manager.

<u>Repealer</u>. All orders, bylaws, ordinances, and resolutions, or parts thereof, inconsistent or in conflict with this Ordinance, are hereby repealed to the extent only of such inconsistency or conflict.

<u>Severability</u>. If any section, paragraph, clause, or provision of this Ordinance shall for any reason be held to be invalid or unenforceable, the invalidity or unenforceability of such section, paragraph, clause, or provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this Ordinance, the intent being that the same are severable.

<u>Recording and Authentication</u>. Upon adoption hereof, this Ordinance shall be recorded in a book kept for that purpose and shall be authenticated by the signatures of the Mayor and the Town Clerk.

Effective Date. This ordinance shall be effective upon publication.

<u>PUBLIC HEARING</u>. This ordinance shall be considered for second or final reading on the August 29, 2022, at the hour of 6:30p.m. in the Town Board Chambers in Town Hall, Dolores Colorado, at which time and place all persons may appear and be heard concerning the same.

PASSED,	ADOPTED	AND AP	PROVED	ON F	FIRST	READING	August 8,	2022.
---------	---------	--------	--------	------	-------	---------	-----------	-------

	TOWN OF DOLORES
ATTEST:	
	Mayor
Town Clerk	_
PASSED, ADOPTED AND A On August 29, 2022.	APPROVED ON SECOND AND FINAL READING
	TOWN OF DOLORES
ATTEST	
	, Mayor
, Town Clerk	_

TOWN OF DOLORES

RESOLUTION R498 Series 2022

A RESOLUTION LIFTING AN OPEN FLAME FIRE BAN

WHEREAS, the Town of Dolores, Colorado is a statutory town incorporated under the laws of the state of Colorado;

WHEREAS, the Town of Dolores enacted Ordinance No. 536 Series 2018 granting the Board of Trustees the authority to enact a resolution imposing an open flame fire ban as conditions require;

WHEREAS, the fire danger in Southwest Colorado and the Town of Dolores has eased;

WHEREAS the Montezuma County Sheriff recommends that the Town of Dolores lift the fire ban for the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the Town of Dolores and surrounding community.

WHEREAS the Board of Trustees wish to lift resolution number R484 Series 2022 enacted on May 25th, 2022, that imposed a fire ban.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE TOWN OF DOLORES as follows:

- The Board of Trustees, pursuant to Ordinance No. 536 Series 2018 and as authorized by Colorado law, hereby rescinds the May 25th, 2022, Open Flame Fire Ban as defined in said Ordinance within all incorporated areas of the Town of Dolores.
- 2. This resolution shall continue in full force and effect until modified by the Board of Trustees.
- 3. The staff of the Town of Dolores shall inform the public of the rescission of the Open Flame Fire Ban.
- 4. If any section, clause, phrase, word other provisions of this resolution shall for any reason be held invalid, such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining sections, sentences, clauses, phrases words or other provisions and the validity of this resolution shall stand notwithstanding.
- 5. Introduced, read, and passed as a resolution at the regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Town of Dolores held on August 8th, 2022, at which a quorum was present.

on August 8th, 2022, at which a quorum was present.
ees of the Town of Dolores, Colorado, on August 8 th , 2022.
TOWN OF DOLORES:
_, Mayor Leigh Reeves
_, Town Clerk Tammy Neely